

UNCIO - Working Papers - Commissions & Technical Committees
- Commission II Committee II - 23rd & 24th meeting

16 June 1945
16 June 1945

"declassified"
10/65

S - 1018
Box - 8
File - 30

(PA65/2.2.3)

Commission II

Committee 2
Comité

Attendance Record
Bulletin de Presence

Date

24th Meeting
Room 202 3:00 P.M.
16 June 1945

Country
Nation

Name of Representative Present
Nom du Représentant Présent
(Please Print)
(en Majuscules, S.V.P.)

Argentina

W. S. S. S. S.

Australia

T. M. F. S. S.

Belgium

P. S. S. S.

Bolivia

S. S. S. S.

Brazil

H. de S. S. S.

Byelorussian S.S.R.

P. S. S. S.

Canada

L. S. S. S.

Chile

S. S. S. S.

China

C. S. S. S.

Colombia

S. S. S. S.

Costa Rica

S. S. S. S.

Cuba

E. S. S. S.

Czechoslovakia

Denmark

S. H. Jørgensen
Kurt

Dominican Republic

Ecuador

Carlos Tobo Zaldumbide

Egypt

Ali H. Andros

El Salvador

Ethiopia

D. H. H. H. H.

France

Aglion

Greece

Cissaman H. H.

Guatemala

H. H. H. H.

Haiti

Andri Lisut

Honduras

India

V. T. Krishnamochari

Iran

A. R. H. H.

Iraq

H. F. Chalabi

Lebanon

A. Y. H. H.

Liberia

Luxembourg

Mexico

H. H. H. H.

Netherlands

Raven Moesa

New Zealand

ad m. Inlsh

Nicaragua

Norway

Jacob. Wm. Miller

Panama

Paraguay

Peru

Guillermo Miller

Philippine Commonwealth

José F. Imperial

Saudi Arabia

Assa
H. H. Baker

Syria

H. H. Baker

Turkey

Ukrainian S.S.R.

M. Petrovsky

Union of South Africa

J. R. Lardner

Union of Soviet Socialist
Republics

Roskin

United Kingdom

Charles Welles

United States of America

A. H. Vandenberg

Uruguay

Gianfranco

Venezuela

R. E. Wright

Yugoslavia

T. V. Givens

CHAIRMAN: The Delegate of the United States.

DELEGATE OF THE UNITED STATES: Mr. Chairman. . . .

DELEGATE OF COLOMBIA: (Speaking in Spanish; English version as delivered by interpreter follows): The Delegate of Colombia wants to know the text of the Russian Delegate's proposal as he has not been able to examine it.

DELEGATE OF THE UNITED STATES: First, I will answer the question of the Delegate of Colombia. I think I have it here. The Delegate from the Soviet Republics is proposing to add after the words "matters" on page 8--have you it--after the word "matters", "which affect the maintenance of international peace and security".

In other words he is proposing to limit the recommendations to international peace and security.

Now, Mr. Chairman, I too agree with the able Delegate of Canada that ordinarily this would be an unfortunate process, but we may as well be frank about the situation, and I want to entire Committee to have all the information I have on this subject.

It is my understanding, that, except as we can find some reasonable ground upon which to settle this problem now in this Committee, that the subject will be raised not only in the Commission, but it will be raised before

the Executive Committee and before the Steering Committee. If it were to be raised on the question of any limitation of discussion, I could have no sympathy with it whatsoever. I call your attention to the fact, however, that the Delegate from the Soviets is now proposing to put this limiting phrase in quite a different place from the place where it was rejected by the Committee. When it was rejected by the Committee it was proposed as a limitation upon discussion. Now it is not proposed as a limitation upon discussion at all. Discussion is to be left totally free in respect to anything within the sphere of international relations, and the only limitation now proposed is that when the Assembly makes recommendations to the Security Council which deals exclusively with questions of peace and security and it too shall deal with peace and security when it makes its recommendations.

Now I cannot help but welcome the spirit in which the Delegate from the Soviet Republics has offered this way out of what I am very much afraid, frankly, is going to be a very difficult situation in regard to the conclusion of our Conference, except as you can find some meeting of minds on some common ground, and I think he has been very fair about it when he proposes to leave the right of discussion totally without any limitation whatsoever, that

being in conformity with the action taken by this Committee, and proposes only that recommendations shall be limited to matters which affect the maintenance of international peace and security.

Now I want to call the Committee's attention to the fact that the Assembly is going to possess a general power of recommendation under the language of paragraph 6 and which is itself as wide as the world.

I call the Committee's attention to the fact that under paragraph 6 the General Assembly, subject to the provisions of paragraph 1, is to be empowered to recommend measures for the peaceful adjustment of any situations, regardless of origin, which it deems likely to impair the general welfare or friendly relations among nations and so forth.

Now I submit that even in the field of recommendation that gives the General Assembly a practically unlimited right of action. I do not think that - I do not think it is necessary to repeat the general authority in connection with the section which the able Delegate from the Soviet Republic seeks to amend. I respectfully suggest that as a means of settling what I can assure you has been a most troublesome question for the last three or four days and which threatens to be an even more troublesome question

in the next few days to come - I assure you that if it can meet with your approval to agree with the Soviet amendment it will be very much worth while from every possible point of view. And I remind you again that it is not a limitation upon discussion which he is proposing at all. Our town meeting of the world remains just as wide open for discussion as though the amendment had never been proposed.

This is solely for the purpose of putting a limitation upon recommendations which are, at this point in the text, to be limited to the maintenance of international peace and security. Although, I remind you again, that in paragraph 6 you have got a right in the Assembly to make recommendations in respect to any situations regardless of origin which affect the public welfare anywhere in this world. Now on the basis of that set of facts Mr. Chairman and in view of the practical situation with which we are confronted in respect to the termination of our work and in an effort to achieve total unanimity in this Committee - and this is the only point at which we now lack it, I too am joining in supporting the amendment proposed by the Soviet Delegate.

CHAIRMAN: It is already 6:30 and several Delegates have requested permission to go so we will adjourn the meeting for tonight.

(Whereupon the meeting adjourned at 6:30 p.m.)

Summary Report of the Twenty-fourth Meeting of Committee II/2

Veterans Building, Room 202, June 16, 3.30 p.m.

The Chairman opened the meeting at 3.30 p.m.

1. Consideration of the Provisional Text of the Rapporteur's Report.

Doc. 1008/
II/2/52) The Rapporteur described the structure of his Report, which had been conditioned by the nature & organisation of the Committee's work. He had aimed at making the Report both brief & objective. To have faithfully traced in detail the course of the Committee's discussions would, he felt, have resulted in too long a document for consideration at the ~~present~~ present pressing stage of the work of the Conference. He had therefore confined himself to giving the references to every document in which the questions considered by the Committee, & the discussions thereon, were set out, and had arranged his matter according to the procedures followed by the Committee.

The Report was then considered para-

graph by paragraph. Paragraphs 12 and 13, recording statements by the Delegates of Belgium & the Dominican Republic, were stricken out with their consent. Arguments in favour of expanding ~~the~~ parts of the Report to which certain Delegates attached special importance were met by ^{the} objection that, once such a precedent were established, other questions ~~&~~ which other delegates considered important would also have to be treated at length, and the whole character of the Report changed. The method which the Rapporteur had adopted was accepted, as is shown by the Committee's approval, with small changes & the omission of paragraphs 12, 13, 22 and 28, of its first seven sections.

When the eighth section, containing the summary of recommendations, came under discussion, the Delegate of the Soviet Union proposed an amendment to Recommendation

the text of Paragraph 1, Section B, Chapter V, as follows: That the words "which affect the maintenance of international peace and security" be added after "matters" at the end of the first sentence. He appealed for unanimity on this important paragraph which, without these words, would not relate the Assembly's power of recommendation specifically to the maintenance of international peace and security, a matter to which his Delegation attached primary importance.

In the ~~short~~ discussion which followed ~~took place before the meeting adjourned~~ ^{in the course of approving the Rapporteur's Report} one speaker held that the Committee should not make a serious alteration to a major paragraph, on which several other paragraphs depended. ~~the course of discussion on the Rapporteur's Report~~. Other speakers and which had been exhaustively discussed

supported the motion, stressing ^{the} wide powers of recommendation still left to the Assembly under Paragraphs 1 and 6, taken in conjunction, and the fact that the proposed change left unaffected the Assembly's power to discuss.

^{no decision was taken, and}
~~The discussion was still unfinished when the meeting was~~
adjourned at 6.30 p.m.

SUMMARY REPORT OF THE TWENTY-THIRD MEETING OF COMMITTEE II/2

Veterans Building, Room 303, June 16, 1945, 10:36 a.m.

The Chairman opened the meeting at 10:36 a.m.

1. Postponement of consideration of Paragraph 3, Section B, Chapter V.

The Chairman explained that Committee I/2 had not finished dealing with the question of expulsion of members of the Organization, and that this item on the Agenda would therefore have to be held over.

2. Consideration of additions to Paragraph 6, Section B, Chapter V made by Committee II/3.

At the Chairman's invitation, the Secretary read a communication from the Executive Officer of Commission II stating that Committee II/3, which has jurisdiction over economic and social aspects of this paragraph, had inserted the words "educational and health" after the word "cultural" in the first sentence. The decision of Committee II/3 did not involve any substantive change in the subsequent portions of the paragraph as adopted by Committee II/2.

The Delegate of Canada made some suggestions for improving the literary style and clarity of the first part of Paragraph 6, which were welcomed by other members. It was agreed that these suggestions should be conveyed to the Coordination Committee by the Chairman.

Decision: Committee II/2 concurred in the addition of the words proposed by Committee II/3, on the understanding that the Coordination Committee would make the drafting changes necessary to harmonize the texts approved by the two committees.

3. Consideration of the provisional text of the Rapporteur's Report.

It was agreed to defer this matter until the afternoon meeting in order to give Delegates time to study the report.

The meeting adjourned at 11 a.m.

SUMMARY REPORT OF THE TWENTY-FOURTH MEETING OF COMMITTEE II/2

Veterans Building, Room 202, June 16, 1945, 3:30 p.m.

The Chairman opened the meeting at 3:30 p.m.

1. Consideration of the Provisional Text of the Rapporteur's Report

The Rapporteur described the structure of his Report (Doc. 1008, II/2/52), which had been conditioned by the nature and organization of the Committee's work. He had aimed at making the Report both brief and objective. To have faithfully traced in detail the course of the Committee's discussions would, he felt, have resulted in too long a document for consideration at the present pressing stage of the work of the Conference. He had therefore confined himself to giving the references to every document in which the questions considered by the Committee, and the discussions thereon, were set out, and had arranged his matter according to the procedures followed by the Committee.

The Report was then considered paragraph by paragraph. Paragraphs 12 and 13, recording statements by the Delegates of Belgium and the Dominican Republics, were stricken out with their consent. Arguments in favor of expanding parts of the Report to which certain Delegates attached special importance were met by the objection that, once such a precedent were established, other questions which other delegates considered important would also have to be treated at length, and the whole character of the Report changed. The method which the Rapporteur had adopted was accepted, as is shown by the Committee's approval, with small changes and the omission of paragraphs 12, 13, 22 and 28, of its first seven sections.

When the eighth section, containing the summary of recommendations, came under discussion, the Delegate of the Soviet Union proposed an amendment to Recommendation 1, the text of paragraph 1, Section B, Chapter V, as follows: that the words "which affect the maintenance of international peace and security" be added after "matters" at the end of the first sentence. He appealed for unanimity on this important paragraph which, without these words, would not relate the Assembly's power of recommendation specifically to the maintenance of international peace and security, a matter to which his Delegation attached primary importance.

In the discussion which followed, one speaker held that in the course of approving the Rapporteur's Report the Committee should not make a serious alteration to a major paragraph, on which several other paragraphs depended and which had been exhaustively discussed. Other speakers supported the motion, stressing the wide powers of recommendation still left to the Assembly under paragraphs 1 and 6, taken in conjunction, and the fact that the proposed change left unaffected the Assembly's power to discuss.

No decision was taken, and the meeting was adjourned at 6:30 p.m.

23rd, cont, 202.3.30. June 16.

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

Ch. We adjourned am. to read R.R. We can, if you agree, now go thro' it § by §, wh. are not, 2 members can make obs on § § by nos

Rapp You have provis text R.R. It is short, its structure defined by 2 cues. 1. Nature of work 2 the org of wk. To have faithfully traced course discuss: wd have made RR much longer also we are pressed f. time (Correct errors) my plan = prelim consid, org of wk, role et functions of sub-A & B. Then I go in to our examⁿ texts of D.O., dividing it by Paras. Under ea para, I put the vari subds, w. all Doc nos f. ref. arranged

acc to proceed we foll^d. To have quoted Docs wd have made v. long RR, & v. heavy task trans & displac^d. We can't include § 3, ^{of} wh we have not yet been able to deal, s. left blank on § 9, in summary of Rec 3.

Ch. We will study, rep section by section. Obs § 1.

USSR We have almost finished, & agreed texts, incl § 8 & unanimous. For § 1, must stay behalf my Delep say we place first of our objects the in of l.p. & s. This was only acc 27-11. Appeal f. unanim. Prop ch. wd imp.

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

Ch Still think we take sections in order. When get to summary, can deal Soviet props.
Obs on § 1.

§ 12

Wk. If we have mention one op, we must have counterbal arguments. (2) of Belg ~~the~~ suby giving rise in many objects & which discuss us. Strike § or else put in other arg^s.

Col 2nd wk. to suppress § 12. ~~They~~ del of contrary op re down rights & voided on understanding they were reserved. Also another Cttee has since passed out. on this pt. we can't a

Rapp

I wish explain. The duty Rapp is to take note of demandes des D's that their remarks be recorded. This is a case, & I had no option. But this am., something put different light, & he said that it ed be w-drawn. Acc 1 also w-draw § 13

Sarcit
me in
writing

§ § 12-13 w-drawn.

§ 15 U. of S.A. Insert text of gus here

Col Second. V. import to have them in full, w/ words in (.), as they relate to a most import decision.

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

- Rapp I wanted to keep RR short. It wd perhaps be better to have incl all gns to wh reply given in aff as all are import in one way or another. ^{Partly given here already, what sense of Ctee was at all.}
- Col The 2nd re was in 2, not in - $+\frac{2}{3}$ against
- Rapp Volunteers include this gn.
- Ch These ^{gns} are in S.R.s, part of records of Conf. In my opinion, to insert in RR = duplication. But I am willing, guided by Ctee
- Egypt Odd if sometimes quote fully, sometimes omit, gns. I agree Col. re this gn important — but so are others. True this RR is in some sense incomplete, but if elliptic indic of what happened is given, result fragmentary. Better to ref. S.R.'s where matters are dealt w. fully
- Col Then why not any reports
- Egypt maintains argument.
- Aust I wd like in RR a/c of stages of discussion. 1. "Town meeting" vote. 2. S-C.A. 3. Full Ctee vote gn 9. 4. S-C.B. for several days. 5. Vote as mentioned here. I think Commⁿ shd know the vital nature of this issue, & the decis of Ctee there.
- Mex If we present in this RR more than has been given here, we have to go much further & give full a/c of discussions. V. import this discussⁿ, I agree Australia. But there are other gns of same much import, & if we set precedent now, we will find ourselves committed

Office as
res said, it

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

to, in a sense, a judgment, insofar as
we give more space to one issue than to another.
If vote is to expand this section, then I
want it done on Anchurian lines, but
always bearing in mind that cases of
need; similar treatment may arise later in
vol. Dels may want put in at length.

W.K. I like Ch's wise guid. I have symp
w. Dels' wanting inclusion, but last
2 speakers have given good reasons
as I support Ch's view, at this
late date - other arise re-writing entire
whole RR, on different lines

Ch Vote?

U. JSA I won't insist on my motion. I had
hoped you might go in annex,
but recog. diffies at this stage

Ch Motion withdrawn

Proceed by § 8

§ 19 put in when I/2 has taken
action on expels

§ 20 & 21

Col § 22. I feel I must say something here.
On § 1 D.O. I wanted 3 guys set out - then
W.K. was going to bring it up again
In § 22, giving list of docs & no of discuss,
is somewhat ambig. There were 2

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

slaves. 1) The Vand. interp, wh. was taken
accompanying treaty r. gn. 2) But Fr
& U.S.S.R. did not accept V, then Egypt
insisted on his amend. There is in Report
no mention of these slaves, & the list of
refs in 21, leading up to naming 3
w/ps only, & decis said to be taken in
one, is not as clear as it shd be. 12

shd be clear why gns w. drawn.
Mex There were 2 pts on wh. w. draw d/ps
1. Amend on wh gns based - Egypt
Mex Brazil, consid in S-C. B. In
main ctee, Brazil & Mex w. drew.
Egypt del made w. draw question,
but press amend & send A f. r/ft.
Then Egypt w. was pres, & defeated.
Thus there were no amends left
basis of gns, & gns w. drawn. A
sentence to this effect shd be put
in to S. C. del's quite simple.

Egypt Our attitude in discuss has been up to, & I
must put thing quite clearly. A. had to
make gns answerable yes or no. Brazil
& Mex w. drew. Gns based on my
amend were not properly drafted, & I
asked to go back to S. Ctee A. This
was refused, so gns w. drawn. I sup,
on prev precedent, we w. draw § 22.
§ 21 gives up to all essent discussing. (Constitutional)

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE

ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

But I'm not trying to gain any advantage here.

Chile

I ^{understand} support Egypt in this sense. (Details listing all over again. 1st mtg, Vand. 2nd, Egypt said no, 3rd, Rues. rejected Vand. 3rd Egypt asked amend go back to S.C.A. I said to do this = vote in fav. to revision. If we don't include whole thing, better to cut § 22.

Rapp

In my opinion, I favour deletion 22, but I have right to say. I was dead at T.R., but had to do my Rappst faithfully. (Reads f. S.R.). I cd only record w/out express of opinion. There was only 1 vote at the drawing - there were other earlier votes, given w various motives, but I could only give final vote, unless I was to give you in 30, 50, 100 pgs, a full ^{fact and discuss} of what wd have taken you many days to discuss. I took course of being summary & impartial.

Colombia

§ 22 is ambiguous under its pres hdp. It picks out T.R. in partic under § 6. T.R. was withdrawn: Vand. can do 2 ships: Change hdp or suppress 22

Ch

Vote suppress 22 Agree 36 Abes not wanted

Rapp

Point out error in 71 text - omission para hdp above 23.

Then, this morning, had to look copy II/3, have to add some things as told.

not interpreted past given refs.

7

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

(Reads his new §)

Ch This is only a change in acc w. action
taken this morn, foll on II/3 action.
§ 24 ... 27 appo

Col I am not sure 27 & 28 quite agree.
I remember the occasion, when interpact
"§ 8 does not limit it ... " was accepted.

There is then an overlapping or contradiction
I want only an explanation.

Rapp 27 ref to quite diff^t thing fr. 28.
27 " to last changes made, 2 or 3
days ago. 28 ref. to Sub-C's
discuss^{ns} about "examiner" (was
"discuter") & this interp was also
acc II/2. After modificaⁿ last
mtg, I looked to see if this pt still
had value, & I consid, since final
text used "examiner", that it was
still req^d.

Col Perhaps better reverse 27 - 28, keep
chron order of events.

Mex Colombia is correct in asking fr. chron order,
unless Belf wd w-draw in view of later
interp " Para 8 ".

Belf Doesn't mind wh. we do - exclude 28,
or reverse order.

USSR Can't put in that order, as 26-27 hang
together. Conseq. changes.

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

Rapp Not altogether agn of Belg - Rapp has been told by II/2 itself to put this in, & I must have a mandate fr. Ctr self. § 27 ref to recent modifⁿ, & logic foll 26.

Col But interp 28 limits 27

Rapp Says no, doesn't limit, but cd put in "The Del of Belg had prop at an earlier stage of the debate"

Lupo (Makes France's point). This was said at earlier stage. Then USSR made statement all welcomed. So I move cut § 28.

Mex § 28 gives an earlier reason for interpreting discuss, earlier mfg. Recalls subseq discuss on USSR change, when "discuss" was discussed in a different context. Stop at "record."

Aust I think RR correct & shd stand. Doc. 707, adopted 26-3 (?). Let it stand

Ch 3 props ~~Alter order §§~~
2) Mex prop? (NO)
3) To maintain as written

Col Prop suppress 28 Ages 21
Hoes 12

Yugo Second

9
THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

§ 31 Yups "whoever" looked to orgs & states,
after IV/1 threw out states, then now
put "whatever bodies".

Ch Sec to explain.

Sec 31 = text as brought to our Cttee. After
we gave yes to 32 gr, + sent it to
IV/1 to draft. Then I read (reads)

Thus IV/1 subs combined into one shorter
text what you here find reported in §§
31-32

Ch 31 ref. to our concurrence, & I think
we did embody new text.

Egypt "or SC" (Ch. this in)

Whoever = more elastic, much Sec. for

Yups "Whatever body" has been acc IV/1, -
Comm IV. Pity if we did not seem to
be concurring in what has been done there.

Canada Rapps list correct. Better put in
end 31 something about IV/1 did,
& text of what IV/1 did

Ch Leave it to Rapps? Agreed

How summary of Recs. all SR has
made motion. What has Cttee to say?

Canada Can't at this stage change text; only
appro RRC today. More important, this
cardinal & has had others built
upon it, will change all the rest.

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

France upholds Soviet on fraud that 72-13 of P.R.
have come out, re dom juris

U.K. It is serious thing to do, but if don't do it
now, will be done in Commⁿ, where discuss
may turn largely on dom juris, & raise
whole set of powers of ass in this matter.
Since discussed this, have had T.R. discuss,
& I think we shd reconsider in light
also of S.P. has made a new pt - not
limiting discuss, but limiting range
of recommendations. I don't understand
it as limiting discussⁿ, but recs.
is different - we don't want recs on
dom jur & T.R. of i.

U.S.P. We may as well be frank. Unless we
can find recs ground compromise, we
Commⁿ, espec, steering. If it
were set off limiting discuss, I wd
not support. Now it is props as
limit only of recs. i.e., when it
makes recs to P.C., it makes em
on ips, which is what S.C. deals
w. Now under 6a, ass rec powers
are as wide as world. I submit
that gives a preclusive right of
action. There is no limit of discuss
— only on recs, & taken up w. S. 6,
I feel the compromise shd be accepted.

Get
Verb

23rd II/2, 303 10.36. June 16

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

Ch. Item 1 goes off, as other Cttee not ready
" 2, & 3 can be dealt w - study &
approv. of R.R. Asks Sec to explain 2

SS I have communic^t from II/3 as foll (reads)
(Text as approx II/3, May 25) There are in yr
places of WD 356. II/3 exam fr Soc-ec
pt of v. You can cf WD 356 & p. 9 of R.R, wh
gives II/2's text.

Ch To avoid misinterp, in 356, is not whole §, only
action of II/3, and all we have to do is to
concur in insert of wds

Canada I appreciate II/2's contrib is not to be
affected. But pres occas gives me opp to
raise yr of motions drafting of para

UK We welcome Canada, but let us not drift
here. Send " suggn to Coord Cttee

Cttee Asks Canada

Canada Agrees

Belg. I support, fr. pt of view of Fr. text, espec
ten "a l c" division, which eliminates
the cascade of adjectives, so confusing

Ch If no opp. to incl "educ, health" in §6
we vote.

Those who concur. (no count) approved

Now Item 3

USSR Put off till 3.30, as we have only just got it.
wd get on quicker

Egypt Seconds.
Agreed

COMMISSION II General Assembly

Comité 2 Fonctions politiques et de sécurité

ORDRE DU JOUR DE LA VINGT-TROISIEME SEANCE DU COMITE II/2

16 juin 1945, à 10 h. 30

- (1) Examen du paragraphe 3 de la Section B du Chapitre V
- (2) Approbations des modifications apportées par le Comité II/3 aux passages concernant les questions économiques et sociales dans le paragraphe 6 de la Section B du Chapitre V.
- (3) Examen du texte provisoire du Rapport du Rapporteur.

AGENDA FOR TWENTY-THIRD MEETING OF COMMITTEE II/2

- (1) Consideration of paragraph 3, Section B, Chapter V
- (2) Question of concurring with Committee II/3 on changes made by it in economic and social parts of paragraph 6, Section B, Chapter V
- (3) Consideration of the provisional text of the Rapporteur's report.

COMMISSION II General Assembly

Committee 2 Political and Security Functions

EXTRACT FROM ANNEX TO REPORT OF RAPPORTEUR OF COMMITTEE II/3
For Consideration of Committee II/2

The text of the paragraphs approved by Committee II/3 includes:

CHAPTER V. THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Section B. Functions and Powers. 6. The General Assembly shall initiate studies and make recommendations for the purpose of promoting international cooperation in the political, economic, social, cultural, educational, and health fields, assisting in the realization of human rights and basic freedoms for all, without distinction as to race, language, religion, or sex, and encouraging the development of international law.

EXTRAIT DE L'ANNEXE AU RAPPORT DU RAPPORTEUR DU COMITE II/3
Soumis à l'Examen du Comité II/2

Le texte des paragraphes approuvés par le Comité II/3 comprend le paragraphe suivant:

CHAPITRE V. L'ASSEMBLEE GENERALE

Section B. Fonctions et Pouvoirs. 6. L'Assemblée Générale provoque des études et fait des recommandations en vue d'encourager la coopération internationale dans les domaines politique, économique, social, intellectuel, de l'éducation et de la Santé publique; d'aider à assurer pour tous, sans distinction de race, de langue, de religion ou de sexe, la jouissance des droits de l'homme et des libertés fondamentales et de stimuler le progrès du droit international.