

UNCIO-Working Papers - Commissions + Technical Committees - Commission 2 / Committee 4. Third
Meeting

10 May 1945
11 May 1945

Clear

UN ARCHIVES

SERIES	<u>1018</u>
BOX	<u>10</u>
FILE	<u>06</u>
ACC.	<u> </u>

Private Agenda for Chairman

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

Copy: First meeting of Committee II/4
May 10, 1945.

Chairman

of Chairman:

(1) Call meeting to order.

Chairman:

(2) Announce photographs to be taken

Chairman:

(3) Announce schedule of meetings

No meeting Sunday because

~~Secretary~~ Memorial ceremony in honor of

President Roosevelt.

Meeting Monday at 10.30 a.m.

(4) Secretary: on the statement on documentation.

Sort ~~papers~~ amendments available.

Committee document & analyzing

five proposals. Arrived version possible when all proposals are in.

(5) Chairman: Suggest committee deadline for receipt of new proposals or

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

Substantive amendments,

Chairman:

(6) Continuation of general discussion.
Began with Delors & Zame, Mr Naggian

(7) Chairman: Adjournment.

If our communiqué is too late
for to-morrow morning's Journal,
I've undertaken to place a copy
in Room 117 at or soon after 9 A.M.

W.B. 10/5

FORM/21
April 30, 1946

COMMITTEE SECRETARIES' CHECK SHEET

MEETINGS Meeting No. 2
Date (day and hour) 8:30 May 10
Room _____
COMMISSION 11
COMMITTEE 4

Preparation for Meeting

Order of Day Officer informed _____ ✓

Formal Agenda completed _____

Cleared _____ ✓

Distributed _____ ✓

Private Agenda transmitted to Chairman _____ ✓

Documents Officer informed on distribution of
documentation _____ ✓

Documents Officer informed on translation of
documents _____

Translation Officer alerted _____ ?

Presentation Officer informed (when required) _____ ?

Recording Section directed (when required) _____ ?

Stenographer arranged for _____

Committee Records

Record of Attendance completed _____

Record of Votes completed _____

Record of Proceedings

Provisional Summary Record _____

Dictated _____

Edited _____

Approved by _____

Executive Officer _____

Delivered to _____

Executive Secretary _____

Précis completed		
Approved by Executive Officer	_____	_____
Delivered to Executive Secretary	_____	_____
Translation requirements ascertained		_____
Classification authorized		_____
Restricted	_____	
Unrestricted	_____	
Distribution instructions ascertained		_____
Visual Material completed		_____
8 copies of rough charts attached	_____	
Checked for content	_____	
Job Jacket completed		_____
6 copies Summary Record	_____	
2 copies Précis	_____	
Section 1 filled out	_____	
Distribution Sheet	_____	
Completed Document picked up		_____
Reserve supply obtained	_____	
Final Summary Record		_____
Comments and corrections received	_____	
Provisional Record corrected	_____	
Approved by Executive Officer	_____	
Delivered to Executive Secretary	_____	

Private Agenda for Chairman

THIRD MEETING OF COMMITTEE II/4

May 10, 1945

CHAIRMAN:

- (1) / Call meeting to order.

CHAIRMAN:

- (2) Announce photograph to be taken.

CHAIRMAN:

- (3) Announce schedule of meetings.

No meeting Saturday because of Memorial Ceremony
in honor of President Roosevelt.

SECRETARY:

- (4) Make statement on documentations. Soviet amendments available. Committee document analyzing five proposals. A revised version possible when the proposals are in.

SECRETARY:

- (5) Suggest committee deadline for receipt of new
Proposals or substantive amendments.

CHAIRMAN:

- (6) Continuance of general discussion.
Begin with Delegate of France, Mr. Naggiar

CHAIRMAN:

- (7) Adjournment.

Commission II

General Assembly

Committee 4

Trusteeship System

AGENDA FOR THIRD MEETING OF COMMITTEE II/4

May 11, 1945

- (1) Continuation of general discussion on trusteeship system

ATTENDANCE AT MEETING OF COMMISSION II, Committee 4

May 10, 1945.

<u>COUNTRY</u>	<u>DELEGATE or ALTERNATE</u>
Argentina	Absent
Australia	Herbert Vere Evatt
Belgium	Auguste de Schryver
Bolivia	Absent
Brazil	Vicente Galliez
Byelo-Russian S.S.R.	Baidakov
Canada	Lucien Moraud
Chile	Julio Escudero Guzman
China	V.K. Wellington Koo
Colombia	Miguel Lopez Pumarejo
Costa Rica	Absent
Cuba	Francisco Aguirre
Czechoslovakia	Absent
Dominican Republic	Absent
Ecuador	Absent
Egypt	Abdel Hamid Badawi Pasha
El Salvador	Absent
Ethiopia	Ambai Wold-Mariam
France	Paul Emile Naggiar
Greece	Constantine Goulimis
Guatemala	Eugenio Silva Pena
Haiti	Pierre Chauvet
Honduras	Absent

Meeting of Commission II, Committee 4, May 10, 1945 (cont.)

India	W.J. Cawthorn
Iran	Allah Yar Saleh
Iraq	Ali Jawdat
Lebanon	Charles H. Malik
Liberia	Richard Henries
Luxembourg	Joseph Bech
Mexico	Rafael de la Colina
Netherlands	H.J. van Mook
New Zealand	Peter Fraser
Nicaragua	Absent
Norway	Jac. S. Worm-Muller
Panama	Absent
Paraguay	Absent
Peru	Absent
Philippine Commonwealth	Maximo M. Kalaw
Saudi-Arabia	Asad El Faqih
Syria	Nazim Al-Koudsi
Turkey	Sinasi Hisar
Ukrainian S.S.R.	V. Bondarchuk
Union of South Africa	D.L. Smit
United Kingdom	The Viscount Cranborne
United States of America	Harold E. Stassen
Union of Soviet Socialist Rep.	K. Novikov
Uruguay	Juan F. Guichon
Venezuela	Alfredo Machado Hernandez
Yugoslavia	Absent

SUMMARY REPORT OF THIRD MEETING OF COMMITTEE II/4
Veterans Building, Room 202, May 11, 1945, 5 p.m.

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 5 p.m.

1. Documentation

The Secretary enumerated the documents now available to the Committee. The Chairman asked that any additional proposals should be sent in as quickly as possible.

2. General Discussion

The delegate for France recognized the value of a trusteeship system, but remarked that it was not the only way of promoting the development of dependent peoples. He expressed the hope that the discussion would proceed within precise limits, and called attention to the principle embodied in the Dumbarton Oaks draft, of non-intervention in the domestic affairs of member States.

The delegate for the Netherlands observed that the concept of trusteeship for dependent peoples did not originate in the Covenant of the League of Nations, but had long been recognized by democratic states in their dealings with dependent peoples. In 1919, although proposals had been made to broaden the mandate system, it had been adopted only as a solution for the problem of ex-enemy territories. He agreed that it was indispensable now for the same purpose, but the types of territory in which it could be helpful were limited. The superimposition of such a system would be a backward step from the point of view of the more advanced colonial territories. His government could not agree

ROUTING SLIP

FICHE DE TRANSMISSION

TO:		
A:		
FROM:		
DE:		
Room No. – No de bureau	Extension – Poste	Date
FOR ACTION		POUR SUITE A DONNER
FOR APPROVAL		POUR APPROBATION
FOR SIGNATURE		POUR SIGNATURE
FOR COMMENTS		POUR OBSERVATIONS
MAY WE DISCUSS?		POURRIONS–NOUS EN PARLER ?
YOUR ATTENTION		VOTRE ATTENTION
AS DISCUSSED		COMME CONVENU
AS REQUESTED		SUITE A VOTRE DEMANDE
NOTE AND RETURN		NOTER ET RETOURNER
FOR INFORMATION		POUR INFORMATION

to its universal application. Commenting on the United Kingdom and United States drafts, he expressed the opinion:

(1) that the proposed Trusteeship Council should exercise its functions even in strategic areas;

(2) that despite the merits of the "open door", this principle should not be applied in such a way as to impair the interests of dependent populations, which must always be paramount. Referring to the termination of trusteeships, he affirmed that where the prerequisites for independence existed, this goal would be attained inevitably with or without a trusteeship system.

The delegate for China explained that his government's proposals were a compromise based largely upon study of the United States draft. They now represented the minimum necessary to make a trusteeship system effective. He then drew the Committee's attention to the points at which the Chinese text diverged from that of the United States:

(1) it did not exclude the possibility of direct international administration;

(2) it introduced the word "independence" in addition to "self-government";

(3) it provided for guaranteeing civil liberty and the right of representation in local assemblies;

(4) it proposed that the extent of strategic areas should be kept to a minimum and that they should fall within both the general plan of international security and the system of reporting to the United Nations;

(5) it added to the powers of the Trusteeship Council the

publication of reports and the making of recommendations;

(6) it provided for the representation of dependent peoples at meetings of the Trusteeship Council;

(7) it asserted that any violation of ~~trust~~ trusteeship obligations should be regarded as a matter of international concern.

The delegate for the Union of South Africa declared his support for the proposals of the United Kingdom. He commented that the trusteeship system, if extended universally, would affect many Powers, and that it might be interpreted as applying to territories within the metropolitan area of a State. He pointed out that the "open door" had not previously been applied to the C Mandates. It might be detrimental to the native population, and his government could not contemplate its application to their mandated territory. He then read a statement on Southwest Africa in order to illustrate his argument.

The Chairman ruled that references to specific territories were only in order when used for illustrative purposes. The task of the Committee was to discuss principles and machinery, not individual territorial issues.

3. Future Business

It was decided that at the next meeting, at 10:30 a.m. on Monday, May 14, the general discussion would be resumed.

The meeting adjourned at 6:57 p.m.

21/12/12 May 10, 1945