

UNCIO - Working Papers - Commissions & Technical Committees - Committee 12 Meeting (1-29)

25 May 1945
01 June 1945

Clear

UN ARCHIVES

SERIES	<u>1018</u>
BOX	<u>04</u>
FILE	<u>03</u>
ACC.	<u> </u>

$\frac{1}{2}$ 17th June 1

PROGRESS REPORT

Seventeenth Meeting of Committee I/2

Veterans Building, Room 303, June 1, 1946, 10:45 a.m.

1. The Matter of the Deputy Secretaries-General.

Committee I/2 returned to the controversial issue of the vote of May 24 on deputy secretaries-general.

The delegate of the Soviet Union stated that since the vote of May 24 had not been decisive and that there had not been sufficient votes to either adopt or reject the provision, he proposed that the matter should be referred to the Steering Committee and that it should not be discussed at this meeting. The President of Commission I expressed his surprise that this matter had been placed on the agenda by the Secretariat, since he had ruled, as Acting Chairman, that the vote of 15 for to 13 against reference to deputies in the Charter, ~~which~~ not consist the necessary 2/3 majority to carry. He pointed out that he had said the matter was closed.

There followed some discussion whether this matter should be referred to the Steering Committee, and if so, by the Chairman of Committee I/2 or by the Soviet delegation. The Secretary was asked to read the provision in this matter in the Organization of the Conference. (Doc. 31) After this reading and further discussion by the Committee, the Delegate of the Soviet Union announced that the chairman of his delegation would refer the matter to the Steering Committee in accordance with the provisions of the Organization of the Conference.

2. Consideration of Draft Report of Subcommittee I/B/D. (The Secretariat)

The rapporteur presented a draft report of Subcommittee I/B/D (The Secretariat). The Committee first considered paragraph 2, Chapter X. The only discussion concerned the use of the word "tutelle" in the French text for "trusteeship", and a minor point in an explanatory statement contained in the draft report.

It was pointed out that it was necessary for the Committee only to consider the texts proposed by the Subcommittee,

PROGRESS REPORT

Seventeenth Meeting of Committee I/2

Veterans Building, Room 303, June 1, 1945, 10:45 a.m.

1. The Matter of the Deputy Secretaries-General.

Committee I/2 returned to the controversial issue of the vote of May 24 on deputy secretaries-general.

The delegate of the Soviet Union stated that since the vote of May 24 had not been decisive and that there had not been sufficient votes to either adopt or reject the provision, he proposed that the matter should be referred to the Steering Committee and that it should not be discussed at this meeting. The President of Commission I expressed his surprise that this matter had been placed on the agenda by the Secretariat, since he had ruled, as Acting Chairman, that the vote of 15 for to 13 against reference to deputies in the Charter, ~~which~~ ^{which} not consist the necessary 2/3 majority to carry. He pointed out that he had said the matter was closed.

There followed some discussion whether this matter should be referred to the Steering Committee, and if so, by the Chairman of Committee I/2 or by the Soviet delegation. The Secretary was asked to read the provision in this matter in the Organization of ~~the~~ Conference. (Doc. 31) After this reading and further discussion by the Committee, the Delegate of the Soviet Union announced that the chairman of his delegation would refer the matter to the Steering Committee in accordance with the provisions of the Organization of the Conference.

2. Consideration of Draft Report of Subcommittee I/2/D. (The Secretariat)

The rapporteur presented a draft report of Subcommittee I/2/D (The Secretariat). The Committee first considered paragraph 2, Chapter X. The only discussion concerned the use of the word "tutelle" in the French text for "trusteeship", and a minor point in an explanatory statement contained in the draft report.

It was pointed out that it was necessary for the Committee only to consider the texts proposed by the Subcommittee,

PROGRESS REPORT

Seventeenth Meeting of Committee I/2

Veterans Building, Room 303, June 1, 1946, 10:45 a.m.

1. The Matter of the Deputy Secretaries-General.

Committee I/2 returned to the controversial issue of the vote of May 24 on deputy secretaries-general.

The delegate of the Soviet Union stated that since the vote of May 24 had not been decisive and that there had not been sufficient votes to either adopt or reject the provision, he proposed that the matter should be referred to the Steering Committee and that it should not be discussed at this meeting. The President of Commission I expressed his surprise that this matter had been placed on the agenda by the Secretariat, since he had ruled, as Acting Chairman, that the vote of 15 for to 13 against reference to deputies in the Charter, ~~was~~ not ^{quite} the necessary 2/3 majority to carry. He pointed out that he had said the matter was closed.

There followed some discussion whether this matter should be referred to the Steering Committee, and if so, by the Chairman of Committee I/2 or by the Soviet delegation. The Secretary was asked to read the provision in this matter in the Organization of the Conference. (Doc. 31) After this reading and further discussion by the Committee, the Delegate of the Soviet Union announced that the chairman of his delegation would refer the matter to the Steering Committee in accordance with the provisions of the Organization of the Conference.

2. Consideration of Draft Report of Subcommittee I/2/D. (The Secretariat)

The rapporteur presented a draft report of Subcommittee I/2/D (The Secretariat). The Committee first considered paragraph 2, Chapter X. The only discussion concerned the use of the word "tutelle" in the French text for "trusteeship", and a minor point in an explanatory statement contained in the draft report.

It was pointed out that it was necessary for the Committee only to consider the texts proposed by the Subcommittee,

formal since the explanatory statements would be revised in the former report of the rapporteur to the Commission, which would require approval by Committee I/2.

Decision: Committee I/2 unanimously accepted the following text of paragraph 2, Chapter X, recommended by the subcommittee:

"The Secretary-General shall act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council, and shall perform such other functions as are or may be entrusted to him by the Organization. The Secretary-General shall make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization."

The Committee then discussed paragraph 3, Chapter X. The Delegate of Venezuela made a statement concerning the amendment of his delegation which had not been approved by the subcommittee. He was supported by Uruguay.

The Delegate of Uruguay proposed the amendment of the text as recommended by the subcommittee, so that it would read as follows:

"The Secretary General may bring to the Attention of the Security Council any matters which in his opinion might threaten international peace and security, as well as any matters which constitute an infringement or violation of the principles of the Charter."

He was supported by the Delegates of Iran and Egypt, but the delegate of the United Kingdom opposed his proposal, and asked the chairman to rule whether or not it was in order. He implied that it had not been submitted prior to the May 4 deadline.

The Delegates of Canada and New Zealand also spoke against the Uruguayan proposal.

The Delegate of the United Kingdom also raised the question as to whether this Committee should discuss the Venezuelan amendment, since it was closely associated with the relationship between the Security Council and the General Assembly, which is under consideration by Committee II/2. Several delegates spoke in support of the right of

formal since the explanatory statements would be revised in the former report of the rapporteur to the Commission, which would require approval by Committee I/2.

Decision: Committee I/2 unanimously accepted the following text of paragraph 3, Chapter X, recommended by the subcommittee:

"The Secretary-General shall act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council, and shall perform such other functions as are or may be entrusted to him by the Organization. The Secretary-General shall make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization."

The Committee then discussed paragraph 3, Chapter X. The Delegate of Venezuela made a statement concerning the amendment of his delegation which had not been approved by the subcommittee. He was supported by Uruguay.

The Delegate of Uruguay proposed the amendment of the text as recommended by the subcommittee, so that it would read as follows:

"The Secretary General may bring to the Attention of the Security Council any matters which in his opinion might threaten international peace and security, as well as any matters which constitute an infringement or violation of the principles of the Charter."

He was supported by the Delegates of Iran and Egypt, but the delegate of the United Kingdom opposed his proposal, and asked the chairman to rule whether or not it was in order. He implied that it had not been submitted prior to the May 4 deadline.

The Delegates of Canada and New Zealand also spoke against the Uruguayan proposal.

The Delegate of the United Kingdom also raised the question as to whether this Committee should discuss the Venezuelan amendment, since it was closely associated with the relationship between the Security Council and the General Assembly, which is under consideration by Committee II/2. Several delegates spoke in support of the right of

since the explanatory statements would be revised in the former report of the rapporteur to the Commission, which would require approval by Committee I/2.

Decision: Committee I/2 unanimously accepted the following text of paragraph 3, Chapter X, recommended by the subcommittee:

"The Secretary-General shall act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council, and shall perform such other functions as are or may be entrusted to him by the Organization. The Secretary-General shall make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization."

The Committee then discussed paragraph 3, Chapter X. The Delegate of Venezuela made a statement concerning the amendment of his delegation which had not been approved by the subcommittee. He was supported by Uruguay.

The Delegate of Uruguay proposed the amendment of the text as recommended by the subcommittee, so that it would read as follows:

"The Secretary General may bring to the Attention of the Security Council any matters which in his opinion might threaten international peace and security, as well as any matters which constitute an infringement or violation of the principles of the Charter."

He was supported by the Delegates of Iran and Egypt, but the delegate of the United Kingdom opposed his proposal, and asked the chairman to rule whether or not it was in order. He implied that it had not been submitted prior to the May 4 deadline.

The Delegates of Canada and New Zealand also spoke against the Uruguayan proposal.

The Delegate of the United Kingdom also raised the question as to whether this Committee should discuss the Venezuelan amendment, since it was closely associated with the relationship between the Security Council and the General Assembly, which is under consideration by Committee II/2. Several delegates spoke in support of the right of

the Committee I/2 to discuss this matter.

At the conclusion of the meeting, the Chairman stated his position on the two points of order raised by the United Kingdom. He declared that the Uruguayan amendment had been submitted ~~for~~ May 4 and, therefore, it was in order for Committee I/2 to discuss it and vote upon it. He also stated that it was his belief that Committee I/2 was competent to discuss matters which might also be discussed by other committees and that if there were any conflict, such conflict would be resolved by the Coordination Committee or other higher Organs of the Conference.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:45.

the Committee I/2 to discuss this matter.

At the conclusion of the meeting, the Chairman stated his position on the two points of order raised by the United Kingdom. He declared that the Uruguayan amendment had been submitted for May 4 and, therefore, it was in order for Committee I/2 to discuss it and vote upon it. He also stated that it was his belief that Committee I/2 was competent to discuss matters which might also be discussed by other committees and that if there were any conflict, such conflict would be resolved by the Coordination Committee or other higher Organs of the Conference.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:45.

the Committee I/2 to discuss this matter.

At the conclusion of the meeting, the Chairman stated his position on the two points of order raised by the United Kingdom. He declared that the Uruguayan amendment had been submitted for May 4 and, therefore, it was in order for Committee I/2 to discuss it and vote upon it. He also stated that it was his belief that Committee I/2 was competent to discuss matters which might also be discussed by other committees and that if there were any conflict, such conflict would be resolved by the Coordination Committee or other higher Organs of the Conference.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:45.

AGENDA OF THE SEVENTEENTH MEETING OF COMMITTEE I/2

June 1, 1945

1. Discussion of Chapter X

- a. Vote on Deputy Secretaries-General
- b. Report of the subcommittee on the Secretariat

SUMMARY REPORT OF SEVENTEENTH MEETING OF COMMITTEE I/2
Veterans Building, Room 303, June 1, 1945, 10:45 a.m.

1. Discussion of Procedure.

The delegate of the Soviet Union stated that since the vote of Committee I/2 on deputy secretaries-general on May 24 had not been decisive, he proposed that the matter should be referred to the Steering Committee and that it should not be discussed at this meeting. After some discussion by the Committee, the delegate of the Soviet Union announced that the chairman of his delegation would refer the matter to the Steering Committee in accordance with the provisions of the Organization of the Conference. ~~(Document 51).~~

2. Consideration of Draft Report of Subcommittee I/2/d
^{the}
(Secretariat).

The rappporteur presented the draft report of
^{the}
Subcommittee I/2/d (Secretariat).

The Comtee considered paragraph 1 Chapter X. ^P The Delegate of Egypt asked whether the word "tutelle" in the French text for "trusteeship" had been formally accepted. The Delegate of Chile stated that the Coordination Committee, of which he is a member, is endeavoring to provide the proper French word for "trusteeship".

The Delegate of the Netherlands pointed out that in the explanatory statement on paragraph 2, contained in the draft report, reference was made to political as well as to administrative functions of the Secretariat. He declared that the Secretariat would have only administrative functions, and would not have any political functions. He suggested, therefore, that the first sentence of the second paragraph of section 1 on page 2 of the draft report should read as follows: "The subcommittee was in general agreement that the language of paragraph 2 should be kept sufficiently broad to cover all functions of the secretariat, and that no attempt should be made" The Delegate of the Netherlands was supported by several other delegates.

Decision: Committee I/2 unanimously accepted the following
text of paragraph 2, Chapter 10, recommended by
the subcommittee.

"The Secretary-General shall act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council, and shall perform such other functions as are or may be entrusted to him by the Organization.

The Secretary-General shall make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization."

The Committee then discussed paragraph 3, Chapter X.

It was
~~The Delegate of Belgium~~ suggested that in order to bring the text recommended by the subcommittee into conformity with the style followed by other committees, the words "may bring" should be changed to "brings". *There was no further discussion of this suggestion.*

The Delegate of Venezuela stated that the proposal submitted by his delegation to which reference is made in the report of the subcommittee, was that the right of the Secretary-General in respect to matters which *in his opinion* might threaten international peace and security might be exercised before the Security Council, and/or before the General Assembly. *P* The Delegate of Uruguay supported the proposal of Venezuela and made the further proposal that paragraph 3 be amended to read as follows:

"The Secretary General may bring to the attention of the Security Council any matters which in his opinion might threaten international

peace and security, as well as any matter
which constitutes an infringement or viola-
tion of the principles of the Charter."

The delegate of Uruguay

He contended that the Secretary General should take
into account matters which would not necessarily threaten
international peace, but which do constitute violations
of the principles of the ^{Charter} ~~charter~~. He suggested that
there should be some provision by which infringements
or violations of the principles of the charter by a mem-
ber within its own country should be brought to the atten-
tion of the Organization. He was supported by the dele-
gates of Iran and Egypt.

on a point of order,
The Delegate of the United Kingdom [^] contended that

it would be improper for Committee I/2 to discuss the
Venezuelan proposal, ^{it is closely associated with} since [^] the relationship between the
Security Council and the General Assembly, ~~with~~ which
~~it is connected,~~ is under consideration by Committee

II/2. In answer to the Delegate of the United Kingdom,

the Chairman stated his belief that this matter was with-

in the competence of Committee I/2, and that/^{if the}~~the~~ decision ^{His Committee} /
should be contrary to that of another committee, the con-

flict should be resolved by the Coordination Committee

or the higher ⁴~~organizations~~ of the Conference.

With respect to the proposal of the Delegate of Uruguay, the Delegate of the United Kingdom stated that

provision is already made for member states to bring to

the attention of the Organization all violations of ~~the~~ ^{The}

^{of the Charter} principles. He was supported by the Delegate of Canada,

who suggested that adoption of the Uruguayan proposal

would extend to the Secretary-General wider authority

than had been given to members of the Organization in

this respect. The delegate of New Zealand also supported

the position of the Delegate of the United Kingdom. He

declared that the inclusion of the Uruguayan proposal

would place the Secretary-General in a very difficult position and ^{would} then add to the very heavy burdens which ^{have} ^{placed} ^{by} ~~had~~ been laid upon him in the Charter.

The Delegate of the United Kingdom asked for a ruling on whether the proposal of the Delegate of Uruguay was in order.

The Chairman ruled that since this proposal had been ^{submitted} ~~made~~ prior to May 4, it was in order according to the rules of the Conference.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:45.

SUMMARY REPORT OF SEVENTEENTH MEETING OF COMMITTEE I/2
Veterans Building, Room 303, June 1, 1945, 10:45 a.m.

1. Discussion of Procedure.

The delegate of the Soviet Union stated that since the vote of Committee I/2 on deputy secretaries-general on May 24 had not been decisive, he proposed that the matter should be referred to the Steering Committee and that it should not be discussed at this meeting. After some discussion by the Committee, the delegate of the Soviet Union announced that the chairman of his delegation would refer the matter to the Steering Committee in accordance with the provisions of the Organization of the Conference. (~~Document 31~~).

2. Consideration of Draft Report of Subcommittee I/2/d
^{the}
(Secretariat).

The rapporteur presented the draft report of
^{the}
Subcommittee I/2/d (Secretariat).

The Committee considered paragraph Chapter X. ^PThe Delegate of Egypt asked whether the word "tutelle" in the French text for "trusteeship" had been formally accepted. The Delegate of Chile stated that the Coordination Committee, of which he is a member, is endeavoring to provide the proper French word for "trusteeship".

The Delegate of the Netherlands pointed out that in the explanatory statement on paragraph 2, contained in the draft report, reference was made to political as well as to administrative functions of the Secretariat. He declared that the Secretariat would have only administrative functions, and would not have any political functions. He suggested, therefore, that the first sentence of the second paragraph of section 1 on page 2 of the draft report should read as follows: "The subcommittee was in general agreement that the language of paragraph 2 should be kept sufficiently broad to cover all functions of the secretariat, and that no attempt should be made" The Delegate of the Netherlands was supported by several other delegates.

Decision: Committee I/2 unanimously accepted the following
text of paragraph 2, Chapter ~~X~~, recommended by
the subcommittee.

"The Secretary-General shall act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council, and shall perform such other functions as are or may be entrusted to him by the Organization. The Secretary-General shall make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization."

The Committee then discussed paragraph 3, Chapter X.

It was
~~The Delegate of Belgium~~ suggested that in order to bring the text recommended by the subcommittee into conformity with the style followed by other committees, the words "may bring" should be changed to "brings". *There was no further discussion of this suggestion.*

The Delegate of Venezuela stated that the proposal submitted by his delegation to which reference is made in the report of the subcommittee, was that the right of the Secretary-General in respect to matters which *in his opinion* might threaten international peace and security might be exercised before the Security Council, and/or before the General Assembly. *R* The Delegate of Uruguay supported the proposal of Venezuela and made the further proposal that paragraph 3 be amended to read as follows:

"The Secretary General may bring to the attention of the Security Council any matters which in his opinion might threaten international

peace and security, as well as any matters
which constitutes an infringement or viola-
tion of the principles of the Charter."

The delegate of Uruguay

He contended that the Secretary General should take
into account matters which would not necessarily threaten
international peace, but which do constitute violations
of the principles of the ^{Charter} ~~charger~~. He suggested that
there should be some provision by which infringements
or violations of the principles of the charter by a mem-
ber within its own country should be brought to the atten-
tion of the Organization. He was supported by the dele-
gates of Iran and Egypt.

on a point of order,
The Delegate of the United Kingdom [^] contended that
it would be improper for Committee I/2 to discuss the
Venezuelan proposal ^{it is closely associated with} since the relationship between the
Security Council and the General Assembly, ~~with~~ which
~~it is connected,~~ is under consideration by Committee

II/2. In answer to the Delegate of the United Kingdom,

the Chairman stated his belief that this matter was with-

in the competence of Committee I/2, and that ^{if the} ~~the~~ decision ^{of}

^{His Committee} should be contrary to that of another committee, the con-

flict should be resolved by the Coordination Committee

or the higher ^a ~~organizations~~ of the Conference.

With respect to the proposal of the Delegate of

Uruguay, the Delegate of the United Kingdom stated that

provision is already made for member states to bring to

the attention of the Organization all violations of ~~its~~ ^{The}

^{in the Charter} principles. He was supported by the Delegate of Canada,

who suggested that adoption of the Uruguayan proposal

would extend to the Secretary-General wider authority

than had been given to members of the Organization in

this respect. The delegate of New Zealand also supported

the position of the Delegate of the United Kingdom. He

declared that the inclusion of the Uruguayan proposal

would place the Secretary-General in a very difficult position and ^{would} then add to the very heavy burdens which ^{have} ^{been} ^{placed} upon him ^{by} in the Charter.

The Delegate of the United Kingdom asked for a ruling on whether the proposal of the Delegate of Uruguay was in order.

The Chairman ruled that since this proposal had been ^{submitted} ~~made~~ prior to May 4, it was in order according to the rules of the Conference.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:45.

COMMITTEE I/2

JOURNAL

June 1, 1945

At the meeting of Committee I/2 this morning, the Soviet delegate proposed that the matter of deputy secretaries general, on which the Committee had vote on May 24, be referred to the Steering Committee. The Chairman (Mr. Bonilla Lara, Costa Rica) stated that, under the standing orders of the Conference, chairmen of delegations had the right to refer questions to the Steering Committee.

The Committee took up the report of the subcommittee on the Secretariat, which was presented by the Rapporteur (Sayyid Jamil Daud, Saudi Arabia). Committee I/2 approved unanimously for inclusion as paragraph 2 of Chapter X the following recommendation:

"The Secretary General shall act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council, and shall perform such other functions as are ~~encompassed~~ or may be entrusted to him by the Organization. The Secretary General shall make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization."

It was understood that the Secretary General could depute the duties covered by this paragraph to subordinate officials.

The Committee then considered a provision that the Secretary General may bring to the attention of the Security Council any matter which in his opinion might threaten international peace and security. An amendment was proposed to include also any matter involving infringe-

ment of the principles of the Organization.

JOURNAL

June 1, 1945

At the meeting of Committee I/2 this morning, the Soviet delegate proposed that the matter of deputy secretaries general, on which the Committee had vote on May 24, be referred to the Steering Committee. The Chairman (Mr. Bonilla Lara, Costa Rica) stated that, under the standing orders of the Conference, chairmen of delegations had the right to refer questions to the Steering Committee.

The Committee took up the report of the subcommittee on the Secretariat, which was presented by the Rapporteur (Sayyid Jamil Daud, Saudi Arabia). Committee I/2 approved unanimously for inclusion as paragraph 2 of Chapter X the following recommendation:

"The Secretary General shall act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council, and shall perform such other functions as are ~~xxxxxxxx~~ or may be entrusted to him by the Organization. The Secretary General shall make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization."

It was understood that the Secretary General could depute the duties covered by this paragraph to subordinate officials.

The Committee then considered a provision that the Secretary General may bring to the attention of the Security Council any matter which in his opinion might threaten international peace and security. An amendment was proposed to include also any matter involving infringe-

ment of the principles of the Organization.

PRESS COMMUNIQUE

Committee I/2, June 1, 1945

At the opening of this morning's meeting, the Soviet Delegation announced that it wished to ^{have} ^{real} refer to the Steering Committee the question of whether provision should be made in the Charter for Deputy Secretaries General. This prerogative is permitted to ~~[co-presidents to the Conference and]~~ chairmen of delegations under the standing orders of the Conference.

Committee I/2 then proceeded to receive the report of Subcommittee on Chapter X concerning the Secretariat. The report was presented by (Sayyid Jamil Daud, *Saudi Arabia*)

In the previous session, the Committee had adopted certain provisions concerning the Secretary-General, namely, that the Secretary General would be the chief administrative officer of the Organization, that his term of office would run for three years, and that he would be eligible for re-election.

The Committee unanimously adopted the following recommendation:

"The Secretary-General shall act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council, and shall perform such other functions as are or may be entrusted to him by the Organization. The Secretary-General shall make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization."

The Committee then began to consider the following recommendation of its subcommittee:

"The Secretary-General may bring to the attention of the Security Council any matter which in his opinion might threaten international peace and security."

The Delegate of Venezuela spoke in favor of granting discretionary authority to the Secretary-General to bring matters concerning international peace and security to the attention of the Assembly. Those in opposition to this view explained the Secretary-General was already over-burdened. Such a proposal would also place him in the awkward position of having to decide which matter should be put before the Security Council and which before the Assembly. It was explained also that inasmuch as the Assembly consisted of delegates from all the member states that any of the states could raise for discussion matters concerning international peace and security.

The Delegate of Uruguay proposed that the paragraph under discussion be amended to permit the Secretary-General to bring to the attention of the Security Council any matter which involves infringement of the principles of the Organization. After the Chairman ruled that the Uruguayan proposal was in order, the meeting was adjourned with the understanding that debate on this subject would continue at the next session.

PRESS COMMUNIQUE

Committee I/2, June 1, 1945

At the opening of this morning's meeting, the Soviet Delegation announced that it wished to ^{have} refer^{red} to the Steering Committee the question of whether provision should be made in the Charter for Deputy Secretaries General. This prerogative is permitted to ~~[co-presidents to the Conference and]~~ chairmen of delegations under the standing orders of the Conference.

Committee I/2 then proceeded to receive the report of Subcommittee on Chapter X concerning the Secretariat. The report was presented by (Sayyid Jamil Daud, *Saudi arabia*)

In the previous session, the Committee had adopted certain provisions concerning the Secretary-General, namely, that the Secretary General would be the chief administrative officer of the Organization, that his term of office would run for three years, and that he would be eligible for re-election.

The Committee unanimously adopted the following recommendation:

"The Secretary-General shall act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council, and shall perform such other functions as are or may be entrusted to him by the Organization. The Secretary-General shall make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization."

The Committee then began to consider the following recommendation of its subcommittee:

"The Secretary-General may bring to the attention of the Security Council any matter which in his opinion might threaten international peace and security."

The Delegate of Venezuela spoke in favor of granting discretionary authority to the Secretary-General to bring matters concerning international peace and security to the attention of the Assembly. Those in opposition to this view explained the Secretary-General was already over-burdened. Such a proposal would also place him in the awkward position of having to decide which matter should be put before the Security Council and which before the Assembly. It was explained also that inasmuch as the Assembly consisted of delegates from all the member states that any of the states could raise for discussion matters concerning international peace and security.

The Delegate of Uruguay proposed that the paragraph under discussion be amended to permit the Secretary-General to bring to the attention of the Security Council any matter which involves infringement of the principles of the Organization. After the Chairman ruled that the Uruguayan proposal was in order, the meeting was adjourned with the understanding that debate on this subject would continue at the next session.

PRESS COMMUNIQUE

Committee I/2, June 1, 1945

At the opening of this morning's meeting, the Soviet Delegation announced that it wished to ^{have red} refer to the Steering Committee the question of whether provision should be made in the Charter for Deputy Secretaries General. This prerogative is permitted to ~~[co-presidents to the Conference and]~~ chairmen of delegations under the standing orders of the Conference.

Committee I/2 then proceeded to receive the report of Subcommittee on Chapter X concerning the Secretariat. The report was presented by (Sayyid Jamil Daud, *Saudi Arabia*)

In the previous session, the Committee had adopted certain provisions concerning the Secretary-General, namely, that the Secretary General would be the chief administrative officer of the Organization, that his term of office would run for three years, and that he would be eligible for re-election.

The Committee unanimously adopted the following recommendation:

"The Secretary-General shall act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council, and shall perform such other functions as are or may be entrusted to him by the Organization. The Secretary-General shall make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization."

The Committee then began to consider the following recommendation of its subcommittee:

"The Secretary-General may bring to the attention of the Security Council any matter which in his opinion might threaten international peace and security."

The Delegate of Venezuela spoke in favor of granting discretionary authority to the Secretary-General to bring matters concerning international peace and security to the attention of the Assembly. Those in opposition to this view explained the Secretary-General was already over-burdened. Such a proposal would also place him in the awkward position of having to decide which matter should be put before the Security Council and which before the Assembly. It was explained also that inasmuch as the Assembly consisted of delegates from all the member states that any of the states could raise for discussion matters concerning international peace and security.

The Delegate of Uruguay proposed that the paragraph under discussion be amended to permit the Secretary-General to bring to the attention of the Security Council any matter which involves infringement of the principles of the Organization. After the Chairman ruled that the Uruguayan proposal was in order, the meeting was adjourned with the understanding that debate on this subject would continue at the next session.

PRECIS

COMMITTEE I/2

June 1, 1945

The Soviet delegate proposed that the matter of deputy secretaries general, on which the Committee had voted on May 24, be referred to the Steering Committee. The Chairman stated, that, under the standing orders of the Conference, the chairmen of delegations have the right to refer matters to the Steering Committee.

The Rapporteur (General) presented to the Committee the draft report of the subcommittee on the Secretariat. The Committee adopted unanimously for inclusion in Chapter X as paragraph 2 a provision for the Secretary-General to act in that capacity in all meetings of the General Assembly, of the Security Council, of the Economic and Social Council and of the Trusteeship Council and to perform such other functions as are or may be entrusted to him by the Organization. The same paragraph also requires the Secretary General to make an annual report to the General Assembly on the work of the Organization.

The Committee started discussion on a provision that the Secretary General may bring to the attention of the Security Council any matter which in his opinion might threaten international peace and security.

Netherlands: I share views of Bel-N.Z.,
will Sec. read out standing
rule on this matter?

Egypt - 2 pts - substance and
vote - will del. explain whether
he intends to ref. matter of
subst. or vote/

Ch - Before del. of S. U. question, affects
form. we can decide to vote or
not

Belgium - We must be clear on standing,
Pres. of Commission or Chief of
deleg. can refer to Steering
Com. ~~Steering~~ Com can
decide whether it wants to
review whole matter - No limit on
initiative

Sec - left: Co-presid or Chief of
deleg.

Polen - Pres of Com - (no, pres. of
Conf)

Ch - Chief of deleg. ^{will} raises ques in
Steering Com.

UK - 'bad habits; I suggest we report
to Steering Com. what has
happened, ~~send to Steering Com.~~
~~free to rule~~ ~~freedom~~ to
for whatever action it wants
to take.

Ch - we need not intervene since
USSR will refer ques. to
S. Com.

Egypt - Agree with UK for results; we need
not take further action

Ch - After USSR ^{has} spoken, nothing further
to say.

Rapporteur's report

Rapporteur —

See - corr. in French vers

Ch - discuss para. by para.

Egypt - French text - have we agreed
to word "tutel"

Bel - I believe and fear this,
tutur is com. of mory appointed
for under age child

Chr - we should use primarily Eng. text.

Pelt - I agree. Sec. has not political
func - strike out p. "political
as well as admin" - Sec. has
no polit. func, although 5-6 in
personal cap. may.

Chr - Sec. has function of political char.
He repres. the Sec., but doesn't
depute this function
While re. tutur, we are going great consid
to this point

Sec - Subcom. acted on all except para. 1

Pelt: I repeat my suggestion

n. 2 - ^{would be} interpretation necessary. ^{if it remains} I support
Pelt

Belgium - we must make post errors of
discussing this rpt. Such points by

subcom had in mind that
) S-G might bring before S.C. or/and
Assembly, doesn't exclude
S.G. from going before Assembly

Uruguay - we seconded prop by -
Venezuela - This pt is typical of
compet. of this com. Account
should be taken of infringement
of principles

I suggest adding, as well as any
matter which is infringement
of the principles of Char.

Pelt - support Uruguay; U. as to
competence of Com. Need not
refer as long we can handle it.

Egypt - This ques. - 2 aspects:
1) relat. of A to S.C. 2) competence
of S.G. Once whether G
can bring it before A or S.C.
~~whether~~ whether he must bring it before A.

Iran: Support (1) compet of com,
2) proposal by Uruguay

UK - No oppos. to discussion, but
Com. shouldn't decide. II/2
is defining relat. between

A and S.C. - support report
As for broadening, I am not sure
prop. is in order, it is material
amendment. On merits of
this pt., proposal is
voluntary, should be
careful about opening
channels on all questions
before the Council. This
para should be means for
matter of paramount
importance to be brought before
S.C. in cases where States
don't act.

Is proposal in order?

Canada - I have doubt on these additional
word

1) certain violations of principle
may not have to go
S.C.

2) Give S-6 wider authority
than those of member-
states.

Chap VIII / 2A

Egypt: As we are discussing functions of S-6,
we should take this up.

Subst - using adds - S G
may never be called upon to
act, since threat to state will
arouse state. S. G. should
have addit. prop. I'd be
willing to drop first part

M 2 - couldn't take vote on matter.
Oppose proposal - We mustn't
overburden S-6, many
duties - to add other, place
S-6 in awkward pos. vis a vis
states. He must have conf. of
all state. He will be confessor and
censor. Very severe duty

Re Assembly; 72 anxious to
pres. Ass. rights, but in this case,
we are interested in S-6; no need
to give him right to go before
Ass. Puts him in spot to
choose between SC and
A - pres. text is sufficient
re. pt. of proceed - if we don't add
these words, ques. of refer to
other com. doesn't arise at all

UK - pt. of order, decision on my previous
pt. of order - whether subcom's

right - Uruguay's proposal
is amended, must go to
Exec Com.

Chen - (to Uruguay) Has Uruguay included
this ^{proposal among} amendments?

Uruguay: Were other amendments submitted
before deadline? We are discussing
subcom. report - Rules applied
by oppos - I submit proposals
because no state will accuse
itself - Democracy for peace -
states must set about living up
to principles - dictatorship
may not follow principle

D.O. text

Chen - re. UK's request -
reads "Uruguay text" - this point
is included in Uruguay amendments

I disagree with UK, Our decisions
go to Com, Coord. -

tomorrow - Unsaf, Aus,

Norway: - "job is to draft something acceptable to committee. - what about views of Belgian - not much different between U and G proposals

Chr - Canada's position is explained by resolution avant

Street - I accept Canada with some modify - refer to "principal organs and subsidiary agencies" - prefer U. amendm. but will accept Canada's amend.

— "represent and.
Suggest participation of men and women on equal basis"

Chr - reads text with amendments

Savage move accept by acclamation
seconded by Norway

Sec - reads text.

Chr - acclamation is pressure
~~accepted by acclamation~~
voted by unanimity

Sentry - Thankful for 45-4K amendments
which ~~will~~ ^{belong} come up under
Chap. I. - favor ⁴⁵ prop. for
merit system.

Vidal - apprec. coop. of delegats - favor
summer com.