

3/8/12

**Note to the Chef de Cabinet**

Report of the Secretary-General on International migration and development and Report of the Secretary-General on the Implementation of the outcome of the United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) and strengthening of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat)

1. As requested by General Assembly resolution 65/170, DESA has prepared a draft report of the Secretary-General on the implementation of that resolution and the organizational details of the 2013 High-level Dialogue on International Migration and Development. The slotting date for this report is Friday 3 August 2012.
2. Pursuant to paragraph 17 of General Assembly resolution 66/207, UN-Habitat has prepared a draft report of the Secretary-General on the implementation of Habitat II outcome, the implications of the Rio+20 outcome on the UN-Habitat's work and the preparations for the third United Nations conference on housing and sustainable urban development (Habitat III). The slotting date for this report is Tuesday 7 August 2012.
3. Following thorough review, ODSG recommends approval of the two reports (attached). For your kind review and clearance, please.



Parfait Onanga-Anyanga  
1 August 2012

Received by OCDC

2 AUG PM →

*Yvette*




AUG - 3 2012

12-07148 ✓  
12 07195

ACTION *LM*  
COPY *ASG*

**MEMORANDUM**



To: Ms. Susana Malcorra  
Chef de Cabinet  
Executive Office of the Secretary-General

Reference: 12073101

From: Francesca De Ferrari  
Human Settlements Officer, and  
Officer-in-Charge,  
UN-Habitat New York Office

Date: 31 July 2012

Subject: **Draft Report of the Secretary-General to the GA – “Implementation of the outcome of the United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) and the strengthening of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat)”**

Dear Ms. Malcorra,

Pursuant to paragraph 17 of General Assembly resolution 66/207 entitled “Implementation of the outcome of the United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) and the strengthening of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat)”, please find attached for your kind review and clearance:

(i) draft Report of the Secretary-General on the implementation of the above resolution.

Please kindly note that the deadline for submission of the report to the Department for General Assembly and Conference Management (DGACM) is Tuesday, 07 August 2012. It would be highly appreciated if we could have the cleared copies before the deadline to enable us to submit the document on time.

Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Enclosure



**General Assembly**

Distr.: General  
23 July 2012

Original: English

**Approved on behalf of the Secretary-General.  
Chef de Cabinet**

Sixty-seventh session

Item 20 of the provisional agenda\*

**Implementation of the outcome of the United Nations Conference  
on Human Settlements (Habitat II) and strengthening of the  
United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat)**

**Implementation of the outcome of the United Nations  
Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) and  
strengthening of the United Nations Human Settlements  
Programme (UN-Habitat)**

**Report of the Secretary-General**

*Summary*

\* A/67/150.

12-##### (E) #####

\*#####”

The present report is submitted pursuant to paragraph 17 of General Assembly resolution 66/207. It describes the activities of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) over the past year in implementing the outcome of the second United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) and the strengthening of UN-Habitat. The report contains a summary of progress made in preparations for the third United Nations conference on housing and sustainable urban development (Habitat III), governance review, and organizational reform. It also outlines the outcomes of the UN Conference on sustainable development (Rio+20) relevant to the work of UN-Habitat. The report further reviews progress in the implementation of a number of programmatic components of the Habitat Agenda and other issues highlighted in General Assembly resolution 66/207. These include progress in the implementation of the medium-term strategic and institutional plan for the period 2008-2013, slum upgrading, cities and climate change, and post-disaster and post-conflict reconstruction. The report concludes with a number of recommendations, most of them relating to the scope, modalities, format and organization of Habitat III.

## **I. Introduction**

1. The present report is submitted pursuant to paragraph 17 of General Assembly (GA) resolution 66/207 on implementation of the outcome of the United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II) and the strengthening of the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat).

## **II. Third United Nations Conference on Housing and Sustainable Urban Development (Habitat III)**

2. Through its resolution 66/207, the GA decided to convene in 2016, in line with the bi-decennial cycle (1976, 1996 and 2016), a third United Nations conference on housing and sustainable urban development (Habitat III). The purpose of the conference is to reinvigorate the global commitment to sustainable urbanization, focusing on the implementation of a new “Urban Agenda”. That new agenda should build on the Habitat Agenda, the Declaration on Cities and Other Human Settlements in the New Millennium and relevant internationally agreed development goals, including those contained in the United Nations Millennium Declaration, the Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development and the Johannesburg Plan of Implementation, as well as the outcomes of other major United Nations conferences and summits, including the UN Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio+20).

3. In response to paragraph 5 of GA resolution 66/207, UN-Habitat has, during the reporting period, considered a number of issues relating to "... the scope, modalities, format and organization of the third United Nations conference on housing and sustainable urban development (Habitat III), in a most efficient and effective manner...". This has focused on the following issues: inclusion of all relevant stakeholders in national, regional and international preparatory processes; meetings of the Preparatory Committee; and documentation required for the Conference and its preparatory process.

4. The second United Nations Conference on Human Settlements (Habitat II), held in Istanbul, Turkey, in June 1996, was acknowledged as having taken a significant step towards inclusion of non-governmental stakeholders in UN world conferences. A wide range of non-governmental organizations were accredited and participated in the conference. One of the two main committees of the conference, Committee II, was specifically devoted to hearings on the role and contribution of local authorities, the private sector, parliamentarians, non-governmental organizations and other partners in the implementation of the Habitat Agenda.

5. Habitat III would benefit from an equally, or even more inclusive, approach. The ambitious objective set out by GA resolution 66/207 of defining a "New Urban Agenda" requires the participation of all

stakeholders involved in housing and sustainable urban development. In light of this, UN-Habitat has encouraged Member States to establish national habitat committees, in line with resolution GA 62/198, which encourages "... Member States to strengthen or establish, as appropriate, broad-based national Habitat committees with a view to mainstreaming sustainable urbanization and urban poverty reduction in their respective national development strategies." Guidelines on the composition and role of national habitat committees have also been shared with all Member States.

6. It is envisaged that national habitat committees will consist of representatives of relevant national ministries, local authorities, civil society, the private sector, academic institutions and external support agencies. Their role will be to ensure a broad-based and inclusive preparatory process for Habitat III. This will include identification of key achievements and challenges in the implementation of the 1996 Habitat Agenda, as well as of emerging human settlements issues and responses.

7. At the international level, a key issue that needs to be considered in the establishment of the Habitat III Preparatory Committee is openness, not only to all Member States of the UN, but also to observers, in accordance with the rules of procedure of the General Assembly, as well as to Habitat Agenda partners, including local

authorities, parliamentarians, non-governmental organizations, the private sector, and members of the United Nations system, including specialised agencies, funds and programmes.

8. With respect to meetings of the Habitat III Preparatory Committee, experience from the UN Conference on Sustainable Development, Rio + 20, the most recent UN international conference, suggests that sufficient time for negotiations should be provided. It is clear that the necessary preparatory work can be done in three meetings of the Preparatory Committee, but careful consideration will need to be given to the duration of each of those meetings. Current suggestions range from five days to 10 days per meeting of the Preparatory Committee.

9. Both GA resolution 66/207 and UN Economic and Social Council (ECOSOC) decision 2012/xx emphasize that the preparatory process of Habitat III "...should take full advantage of planned meetings by aligning with the twenty-fourth and twenty-fifth sessions of the Governing Council of UN-Habitat and with the sixth and seventh sessions of the World Urban Forum ..." and encourage "... the regular regional ministerial conferences on housing and urban development and other relevant expert group meetings to support the activities of the preparatory process, taking into account that the Conference and

the preparatory process should be carried out in the most inclusive, efficient, effective and improved manner ...”.

10. In light of this, UN-Habitat and the Division of Conference Services of the United Nations Office at Nairobi (UNON) are carrying out a comparative assessment of the cost of different alternatives regarding the location of Preparatory Committee meetings. The first option is that suggested in both GA resolution 66/207 and ECOSOC decision 2012/xxx, i.e., aligning meetings of the Preparatory Committee with already scheduled meetings of the UN-Habitat Governing Council (GC) and the World Urban Forum (WUF). This would minimise Member State expenses, as a single mission would cover two meetings held back-to-back and in the same location. However, costs to the UN Secretariat would not necessarily be minimised, as secretariat staff servicing the meetings would need to be brought in from the main duty stations. Back-to-back meetings of the Preparatory Committee with WUF would also increase the expenses of the host city and country, given the rule that the additional costs of UN meetings held away from headquarters have to be met by the host country.

11. The second option is holding all meetings of the Preparatory Committee in New York. Preliminary findings of the assessment currently being carried out by UN-Habitat and the UNON Division of

Conference Services suggest that this might also be an economical option, given that all Member States have missions at the UN Headquarters in New York, and therefore do not necessarily have to incur expenses for travel to Preparatory Committee meetings. In addition, the UN Secretariat has full conference servicing capacity in New York, which means that minimal expenses would be incurred on travel of conference servicing staff. However, costs related to the travel of UN-Habitat staff involved in providing substantive inputs to Preparatory Committee meetings would be higher than if such meetings were to be held back-to-back with GC and WUF sessions.

12. There may also be other options that combine some elements from the two main options described above, such as holding in Nairobi those meetings of the Preparatory Committee that coincide with GC meetings, thus making some savings on the travel of conference servicing staff and UN-Habitat Staff, as well as of Member States belonging to the UN-Habitat GC, with the rest of the Preparatory Committee meetings being held elsewhere, either back-to-back with WUF, or in New York, or Geneva (which also has good conference servicing capacity).

13. With respect to key documentation, a major task will be to assess – at the local, national, regional and international levels – achievements attained and challenges encountered in the

implementation of the Habitat Agenda, which was adopted by Member States at Habitat II in Istanbul, 1996. This assessment will also need to review the effectiveness of international measures adopted, including the institutional framework, in support of the implementation of the Habitat Agenda. In addition, it will need to identify new human settlements challenges that have emerged since 1996, and how these challenges are being addressed.

14. The second task will be to develop a new urban agenda, on the basis of the assessment of the implementation of the 1996 Habitat Agenda and of the new challenges identified. In line with GA resolution 66/207, both the assessment of the implementation of the Habitat Agenda, and the development of a new urban agenda will have to be widely inclusive, with inputs from Member States and all Habitat Agenda Partners, including local authorities.

15. Member States might wish to consider some of the above ideas during negotiations on a GA resolution on the scope, modalities, format and organization of Habitat III. Results of the comparative cost assessment of different options for Preparatory Committee meetings will also be made available.

### **III. Institutional Challenges and Opportunities**

#### **A. Governance review**

16. In paragraph 11 of resolution 66/207, the GA encouraged UN-Habitat to move the governance review process forward, in cooperation with the Committee of Permanent Representatives, in order to continue on its path towards the improvement of its transparency, accountability, efficiency and effectiveness.

17. During the report period, considerable progress was made with the governance review process. In line with Resolution 23/13, “Governance of the United Nations Human Settlement Programme”, adopted by the UN-Habitat Governing Council in April 2011, the Committee of Permanent Representatives to UN-Habitat (CPR) and UN-Habitat staff worked jointly to implement the third phase of the governance review process, whose aims were to: (i) further examine the options for reform and develop a preferred option; (ii) develop procedures for the examination phase; (iii) seek consensus on the identified option; and (iv) develop an action plan for presentation to the GA.

18. To carry out this work, a CPR Open Ended Consultative Group on Governance Review was set up. The Consultative Group developed the Terms of Reference (TOR) of phase 3 of the review process, which were approved during the 43rd regular session of the CPR held on the 15th of December 2011. In line with these TOR, four task force teams (TFTs) were set up to analyse each of the four governance challenges

identified during phase 2 and to provide proposals for action and recommendations on options to tackle those challenges. During the first quarter of 2012, all four TFTs completed their work and submitted their findings and proposals to the 44th regular session of the CPR held in March 2012 for their endorsement.

19. Following this, the Open Ended Consultative Group commenced a comparative analysis of governance models of other Programmes and Agencies in the UN family, on the basis of which a suitable model will be selected and an action plan developed. Six organizations were selected for comparative analysis, some of them with both normative and operational functions and others having recent experience in governance reform. These included: the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC); the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD); the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); the United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN Women); the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR); and the World Food Programme (WFP).

20. The exercise proved to be very fruitful, in terms of providing insight and a wide range of potential solutions adopted in different governance models in order to improve efficiency, transparency and accountability. It is envisaged that the governance review process will

be concluded by the end of 2012, with the completion of a report for submission to the General Assembly.

**B. Organizational reform**

21. During the reporting period, the organizational review process was complemented and implementation of the reform commenced at the beginning of 2012. The organizational review process was launched in February 2011, following recommendations made by Member States and UN-Habitat's key development partners. Its main objective was to establish a structure and management approach capable of ensuring more effective and efficient delivery of UN-Habitat's mandate at the country, regional and global levels. The impact of the global economic crisis also introduced critical financial constraints that had to be taken into account in the organizational review.

22. Various consultations with staff at large, staff representatives, as well as the United Nations Office at Nairobi (UNON) and various other pertinent stakeholders took place throughout the organizational review and reform implementation process. Close coordination with the UN Secretariat's Department of Management in New York was also established.

23. A transitional period was established to allow some of the key change components to mature and take shape. Drafting of the new Secretary-General's Bulletin reflecting the proposed final organizational structure of UN-Habitat commenced in June 2012.

24. The following seven new branches have been established, each focusing on the implementation of both normative work and operational projects in one substantive area:

- (1) Urban Legislation, Land and Governance;
- (2) Urban Planning and Design;
- (3) Urban Economy;
- (4) Urban Basic Services;
- (5) Housing and Slum Upgrading;
- (6) Risk Reduction and Rehabilitation; and
- (7) Research and Capacity Development.

25. The branches are fully aligned to the seven subprogrammes that constitute the Human Settlements Programme, which were recommended for approval by the Committee on Programme and Coordination (CPC) in June 2012, as part of the biennial Strategic Framework for 2014-2015. Each branch consists of 3 to 4 units. The seven subprogrammes are also identical to the Focus Areas in the

proposed Strategic Plan for 2014-2019, thus ensuring complete alignment between the six-year strategic plan, the two-year strategic framework, the two-year workprogramme and budget, and the organizational structure of the agency.

26. The Human Settlements Programme will be implemented jointly by the seven new branches and the regional offices, with the support of a new Management Office (which conflates elements of the mandates of the former Programme Support Division and some managerial elements of the Office of the Executive Director), a new Project Office (which also combines some elements of the former Programme Support Division as well as some elements of the former substantive Divisions).

27. Until the beginning of 2014, UN-Habitat's work will continue to be guided by the Medium-term Strategic and Institutional Plan for 2008-2013, after which the new Strategic Plan for 2014-2019 will take effect. The foreseen complete alignment will simplify accounting and reporting, and enhance accountability and transparency. A plan to guide the delivery of the outputs of the 2012-2013 work programme and implementation of the MTSIP 2008-2013 through the new organizational structure was developed in December 2011.

28. Change management is a long term process which requires careful handling and gradual implementation, with particular attention to organizational culture change and the management of people. In addition to the various channels of communication, a Staff-Management Consultative Committee was established as a vehicle for consultations on the organizational reform of UN-Habitat.

29. All staff members have been placed in their new organizational units, following one-to-one consultations between staff and their respective supervisors. In this process, care has been taken to safeguard the rights and interests of staff members, and no jobs have been lost.

**C. Contributions to the United Nations Habitat and Human Settlements Foundation**

30. In paragraphs 16 of resolution 66/207, the General Assembly called for continued support for UN-Habitat through increased voluntary contributions to the United Nations Habitat and Human Settlements Foundation, including the Water and Sanitation trust Fund.

31. As of 31 December 2011, USD37 million had been received in non-earmarked funds, which was 65 per cent of the target of USD57million for the biennium 2010-2011. For earmarked resources, USD371million was received during the 2010-2011 biennium, which exceeds the biennium target of USD252million by 47 per cent.

32. Trends for the current biennium appear to be following the trends for the 2010-2011 biennium. The income targets for 2012-2013 are US\$60.4 million and US\$283 million in non-earmarked and earmarked income, respectively. As of 30 June 2012, US\$6.1 million (or 20 per cent of the target) had been received in non-earmarked income, while US\$72 million (or 51 per cent of the target) had been received in earmarked income.

33. Mindful of the persistent effects of the global financial crisis and the challenges that most donor countries are facing, UN-Habitat continued with cost control and management measures to bring expenditures in line with the forecast income levels to the extent possible. Despite these measures, a preliminary analysis of income and expenditure for the period January to June 2012 has shown that UN-Habitat is likely to experience a deficit in non-earmarked core income in 2012. However, earmarked income is again likely to exceed the target for 2012.

34. While UN-Habitat may not be able to avoid a core income deficit in 2012, it has a longer term vision. It is developing a strategy to raise more non-earmarked contributions from both traditional donor countries and middle income countries. Steps to accelerate project acquisition and implementation in order to improve overhead income

are also being taken. Careful control of core expenditure will continue, whilst ensuring that key tasks are supported.

#### **IV. Advancing the Goals of the Habitat Agenda**

##### **A. Progress in the implementation of the medium-term strategic and institutional plan for the period 2008-2013**

35. In paragraph 9 of resolution 66/207, the GA welcomed the progress made in the implementation of the medium-term strategic and institutional plan for 2008-2013 (MTSIP). During the reporting period, further progress was made in the implementation of the five focus areas of the MTSIP.

##### **Advocacy, monitoring and partnership**

36. Focus Area 1 of the MTSIP, 'Advocacy, Monitoring and Partnership', continued to make good progress during the reporting period. UN-Habitat's self-assessment, conducted at the end of June 2012, against the indicators of achievement and targets for 2012, placed three expected accomplishments of Focus Area 1 on track, i.e. a delivery level of more than 75 per cent, and one expected accomplishment as having had mixed progress, i.e. with some indicators having a delivery level of between 50 and 75 per cent.

37. UN-Habitat's normative work continued to contribute to increased awareness on urbanization issues through the flagship reports and

advocacy platforms. Results from a survey conducted to assess UN-Habitat's efforts in raising awareness on human settlement issues completed in November 2011 confirmed that the two Flagship reports, the *Global Report on Human Settlements* and the *State of the World's Cities* report, as well as the *Best Practices Database* continued to be valuable tools in raising awareness of human settlements conditions and trends amongst UN-Habitat partners.

38. The number of media articles on *the State of the World's Cities* and the *Global Report on Human Settlements* increased by 56 per cent, to reach a record of over 25,000 in 2011, up from 14,022 for 2010, exceeding the target of 16,000 articles. UN-Habitat recorded 1,076,039 downloads of publications from its website in 2011, up from 822,156 in 2010 and an increase of 31 per cent above the target of 900,000 downloads. During the first half of 2012, UN-Habitat recorded 55,749 downloads of the global flagship reports, already exceeding the target of 22,000 for the biennium 2012-2013.

39. Cooperation agreements with UN-Habitat partners increased from 248 as of December 2011 to 317 in June 2012, an indication of increased participation of Habitat Agenda partners in the formulation of policies and contribution to sustainable urbanization. These partnerships included 76 international organizations, 55 national governments, 43 local authorities, 13 foundations, 43 private sector

organizations, 35 training institutions and 52 civil societies. By June 2012, 172 youth groups were implementing projects sponsored by UN-Habitat.

40. UN-Habitat also made significant progress during the reporting period in promoting knowledge exchange, collaboration and networking with its partners through the global online platform, the Urban Gateway. This platform has proved to be an effective tool enabling governments, local authorities, urban practitioners, researchers, civil society, business and others to contribute to a multi-media knowledge repository of urban best practices, projects, research, technology and publications. As of 31 December 2011, over 982 registered users on the Urban Gateway and 3,020 items had been posted.

#### **Participatory urban planning, management and governance**

41. UN-Habitat's self-assessment, conducted in June 2012 rated all three expected accomplishments of MTSIP Focus Area 2, 'Participatory Urban Planning, Management and Governance', as being on track. Forty-three countries, against a target of 56 for 2013, improved their policies, legislation and strategies for urban planning, management and governance (UPMG), with UN-Habitat's support. A total of 22 crisis prone and post-crisis countries were implementing

policies that incorporated urban risk and vulnerability measures in June 2012, up from 11 in 2011. Madagascar and Haiti were the new countries added during the reporting period. By June 2012, 55 institutions (up from 53 institutions at the end of 2011) were promoting sustainable urbanization. Of these, 28 were local government training institutions, 14 were universities and 13 were local government associations and regional or global local government training institutions. As of June 2012, a total of 166 cities were implementing inclusive UPMG, with support from UN-Habitat, through participatory action planning and implementation in the areas of governance, safety, environment, risk and crisis, with an additional 19 cities from 147 cities at the end of 2011 that were implementing inclusive UPMG.

#### **Pro-poor land and housing**

42. Turning to MTSIP Focus Area 3, 'Pro-poor Land and Housing', implementation of all three expected accomplishments was assessed as on track at the end of June 2012. As of June 2012, a total of 37 countries (compared to a target of 32 for 2013) were developing, implementing or completing land and housing reforms. The new countries that had been added by December 2011 were Burundi, the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC), Iraq and South Sudan.

43. Through normative tools and technical assistance, UN-Habitat mobilized governments and Habitat Partners to improve security of tenure and reduce forced evictions. As of December 2011, 29 countries (compared to a target of 29 for 2013) were implementing policies to improve security of tenure and reduce forced evictions, including in post-disaster and post-conflict situations. As of June 2012, 34 countries were implementing slum prevention and improvement policies with UN-Habitat support, which is above the 2013 target of 28 countries. Twelve of them prepared slum upgrading and prevention programmes for implementation with support from the Participatory Slum Upgrading Programme and funding from the European Commission.

#### **Basic urban infrastructure and services**

44. With respect to MTSIP Focus Area 4, 'Environmentally Sound Basic Urban Infrastructure and Services', the assessment at the end of June 2012, as well as the findings of the evaluation of the Water and Sanitation Trust Fund conducted in 2011, placed one indicator of achievement and its related targets as 'on track', while two indicators of achievement and their related targets were assessed as having had 'mixed progress', i.e. a delivery rate of more than 50 per cent, but lower than 75 per cent.

45. Participating countries in the Water for Cities programmes progressively adopted policies aimed at expanding access to environmentally sound urban infrastructure and services. By June 2012, 36 countries (13 in Asia and the Pacific, seven in Latin America and the Caribbean, 15 in Africa and one in Central America), up from 35 in November 2010, were involved. The new country added during the reporting period was Honduras. The number of institutions in target countries progressively adopting institutional mechanisms that expand access to environmentally sound urban infrastructure and services had reached 131 by June 2012, up from 126 institutions in December 2011. This was above the 2013 target of 120 institutions.

46. UN-Habitat also worked with service providers to improve institutional efficiency and effectiveness of water and sanitation utilities through the Lake Victoria Programme (LV-WATSAN), within which seven water utilities in three countries integrated improvements in physical infrastructure with training and capacity building. Four of the seven utilities are achieving over 95 per cent cost recovery and one other utility is achieving 86 per cent cost recovery and is on track to achieve the 95 per cent target. Under the Southeast Asian Mekong Water and Sanitation Programme (MEK-WATSAN), two towns, namely Xieun Ngeun and Sayabouly, both in Lao People's Democratic

Republic, are recovering 100 per cent of operations and maintenance costs.

47. During the reporting period, a new project on '*Promoting Sustainable Transport Solutions for East African Cities*' was launched. The project is benefitting three towns (Nairobi, Kampala and Addis Ababa). Its aim is to reduce growth in private motorized vehicles, thus reducing traffic congestion and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The project is jointly implemented with the respective governments of Kenya, Uganda and Ethiopia. The implementation phase started in November 2011, with an expected completion date of 2015. This project is part of two thematic areas on which UN-Habitat is placing increasing emphasis, i.e., urban mobility and transport, and cities and climate change.

#### **Human settlement finance**

48. Turning to MTSIP Focus Area 5, 'Human Settlements Finance Systems', the self-assessment carried out at the end of June 2012 rated both expected accomplishments as requiring high attention, i.e. having a delivery rate of less than 25 per cent. There has been no significant change in the performance of this focus area. By the end 2011, the two financial services programmes, the revolving loan fund of the experimental reimbursable seeding operations (ERSO) and the

grant programme of the Slum Upgrading Facility (SUF), had raised USD 3,629,597 million and USD 19,233,000, respectively, achieving a total of USD 22.8 million. Over 8,000 low income households were directly benefiting from ERSO by the end of 2011, and this figure has also not changed since then.

49. Following the adoption of resolution 23/10 by the Governing Council (GC) of UN-Habitat during its 23rd session in April 2011, which brought to an end direct lending operations, largely because of lack of additional donor funding, there has been no further expansion of both the ERSO revolving loan and SUF grant programmes. However, with respect to ERSO, a loan repayment rate of 100 per cent has been maintained. In follow-up to GC resolution 23/10, UN-Habitat has tried to identify a suitable partner to take over the ERSO loan portfolio, but has not been able to do so, and has had to continue managing the existing loan portfolio.

#### **Excellence in Management**

50. Finally, with respect to MTSIP Focus Area 6, 'Excellence in Management', the self-assessment carried out at the end of June 2012 assessed all four expected accomplishments as having 'mixed progress', with some indicators achieving a delivery level of more than 75 per cent ('on track'), most of the remaining ones achieving a

delivery level of higher than 50 but lower than 75 per cent ('mixed progress'), and one indicator having a delivery level of less than 25 per cent ('high attention required'). A revised skills inventory was introduced to reflect the additional new priorities of the organisation and over 90 per cent of staff participated. Staff have been empowered through development and training programmes, including: Management Development Programme (50% of eligible staff trained) and Results-based Management (about 80 staff trained in RBM in 2011).

51. Information continued to be shared through town hall meetings, meetings of the Senior Management Committee and the Senior Management Board, as well as divisional and focus area retreats. The average time for recruitment significantly improved during the reporting period to 150 days, down from 274 days in 2009. The average time for procurement also improved significantly to 15 days, down from 67 days in 2009. The average time taken for approval of cooperation agreements was improved to 8 days, down from 11.6 days in 2009 and equalling the target of 8 days set for 2013.

52. The UN-Habitat organizational review for the rationalization of current structures to enhance efficiency and effectiveness of the Programme was completed. Key reform components which take into account all six **recommendations** on institutional reform made by the

MTSIP Peer Review of 2010 were determined, and are now being implemented. These recommendations were:

- Establishment of an organisational structure to better achieve results within priority areas;
- Establishment of a unified planning, monitoring and reporting function;
- Transparent definition of programme priorities;
- Establishment of an independent evaluation function;
- Establishment of cooperation mechanisms at all levels;
- Strengthening of the programmatic aspects while continuing with the institutional reform; and
- Intensification of efforts to raise the organization's profile.

**B. Draft Strategic Plan for 2014-2019**

53. In paragraph 10 of its resolution 66/2017, the GA encouraged UN-Habitat to continue to work on the strategic plan for 2014–2019 in consultation with the Committee of Permanent Representatives (CPR), in an open and transparent manner, setting realistic and achievable goals for the period it covers.

54. During the reporting period, UN-Habitat made significant progress with the preparation of its Draft Strategic Plan for 2014-2019.

The Plan was prepared jointly by a UN-Habitat team and the Committee of Permanent Representatives (CPR), through an Open-ended Contact Group on the Preparation of the Strategic Plan for 2014-2019, established in June 2012 specifically for this purpose.

55. From the beginning of its work in August 2011 to its last meeting on 4 July 2012, the Open-ended Contact Group held a total of 15 meetings and held a three-day workshop organized to develop the results framework of the draft strategic plan. The draft strategic plan was endorsed by the CPR in two stages, i.e. at its meetings of 28 June and 22 August 2012. The draft strategic plan will be considered, for approval, at the 24th Session of the UN-Habitat Governing Council, to be held in April 2013.

56. Part I of the Plan, titled 'Strategic Analysis', presents the rationale of the strategic plan, highlighting the external and internal factors that have shaped the plan's content. In general, it answers the question "Why?" Part II, titled "Strategic Choice", presents the core elements of the strategic plan, including its vision, mission, goal, strategic result, focus areas and the plan's results framework. It addresses the question "What?" Part III of the Draft Strategic Plan, titled "Strategy Implementation", describes how the plan will be implemented, including: implementation phases; how the plan's implementation will be monitored and evaluated, within the context of results-based

management; and the financial and human resources required for the successful implementation of the plan.

57. The Draft Strategic Plan for 2014-2015 formed the basis for the preparation of the two-year Proposed Strategic Framework for 2014-2015, which was considered and endorsed by the Committee for Programme and Coordination (CPC) in early June 2012.

### **C. Addressing the Slum Challenge**

58. The GA, through paragraphs 7 and 8 of its resolution 66/207, invited UN-Habitat to provide technical and advisory assistance to Governments and regional and local authorities to, *inter alia*, prepare national, regional and local slum prevention and upgrading strategies and plans, and to formulate and implement slum upgrading and housing programmes as well.

59. As indicated in the Millennium Development Goals Report for 2012, the target of significantly improving the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers by 2020 has been exceeded. The share of urban residents in the developing world living in slums declined from 39 per cent in 2000 to 33 per cent in 2012. More than 200 million people gained access to either improved water sources, improved sanitation facilities, or durable or less crowded housing. This achievement exceeds the slum target by 100 million, and well ahead of the 2020 deadline. However, it will be recalled that the

slum target was set at a very low level, in comparison to the immensity of the slum challenge.

60. During the reporting period, 30 Countries from African, Caribbean and Pacific regions (ACP) completed their national urban profiles and 3 city profiles on average per country through the first phase of the Participatory Slum Upgrading Programme (PSUP), which was launched in April 2008 and ended on 31 December 2011. PSUP was built upon lessons learnt during the Rapid Urban Sector Profiling for Sustainability (RUSPS) project, financed by the Governments of Italy, Netherlands and Belgium. Through the RUSPS, 12 African countries successfully completed urban profiles at national and local levels.

61. Phase 2 of the PSUP, which expanded both the scope of and participation in the projects, was launched at the beginning of 2012. Phase 2 added an action planning dimension for the original 12 African countries (Burkina Faso, Cameroon, DRC, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Mozambique, Niger, Senegal, Tanzania and Zambia), and engaged with 18 new African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) countries. These included 11 African countries (Burundi, Cape Verde, Republic of the Congo, Ivory Coast, Gambia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mali, Mauritius, Nigeria and Uganda); 4 Caribbean countries (Haiti,

Jamaica, Antigua and Barbuda, Trinidad and Tobago); and 3 Pacific countries (Fiji, Papua New Guinea, and Solomon Islands).

62. The overall goal of the programme has been to improve the living conditions of the urban poor and contribute to MDG 7 (ensure environmental sustainability), target 7C (to halve, by 2015, the proportion of people without sustainable access to safe drinking water and basic sanitation) and target 7D (to have achieved by 2020 a significant improvement in the lives of at least 100 million slum dwellers).

63. An international Expert Group Meeting on the Global Housing Strategy to the Year 2025 was held from 21 to 22 March 2012 to discuss and reflect on the six regional assessments of the housing sector and the regional analysis of the implementation of the Global Strategy for Shelter to the Year 2000 (GSS 2000). This event contributed to a better understanding of the current shifts in housing policy and practice in all regions of the world in the context of rapid urbanisation, high rates of slum formation, as well as of the current challenges faced by countries regarding the provision of adequate housing, especially for low-income households and vulnerable groups.

64. During the reporting period, UN-Habitat also supported Organization of Eastern Caribbean States countries (Anguilla, Antigua

and Barbuda, British Virgin Islands, Commonwealth of Dominica, Grenada, Montserrat, Saint Lucia, Saint Kitts and Nevis, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines) to develop land policy guidelines highlighting the crucial importance of land management to the achievement of economic development, poverty reduction, social stability and the protection of environmentally sensitive areas. In addition, UN-Habitat provided support to Grenada, Saint Kitts and Nevis and Saint Lucia to develop national land policies, in line with the guidelines as adapted to local conditions. This involved use of UN-Habitat's Global Land Tool Network (GLTN) tools such as 'Social Tenure Domain Model', 'How to Establish an Effective Land Sector', and 'How to Develop a Pro-Poor Land Policy'.

#### **D. Cities and climate change**

65. In paragraph 13 of its resolution 66/207, the GA reiterated its encouragement to UN-Habitat to continue, within its mandate and in line with its MTSIP, its existing cooperation on issues related to cities and climate change and to continue to play a complementary role in matters related to climate change within the United Nations system, in particular in addressing the vulnerability of cities to climate change.

66. During the reporting period, UN-Habitat attended the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change Conference in May 2012,

Bonn, Germany, and during a networking event, it launched a Global Protocol for Community-Scale Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions. This Protocol represents a 'next generation' iteration of the International Standard for city-level GHG emissions earlier developed jointly by the World Bank, UNEP and UN-Habitat.

67. UN-Habitat also took part in the Resilient Cities Congress in Bonn, also in May 2012, where it was announced that, under the Green Climate Fund, Member States will be able to nominate 'competent subnational entities', including local governments, to receive funding. Given that at present access by cities to climate finance is quite limited, this provision represents a welcome, if modest, development.

68. The 'Knowledge for Cities and Climate Change' ('K4C') website, a global platform for knowledge sharing, was jointly launched by UNEP, the World Bank and UN-Habitat, under the auspices of their Cities Alliance-funded Joint Work Programme (see [www.citiesandclimatechange.org](http://www.citiesandclimatechange.org)) to promote the exchange of knowledge and know how.

69. With support from UN-Habitat, the 4th African Ministerial Conference on Housing and Urban Development (AMCHUD) took place in Nairobi, from 20 to 23 March 2012. The conference and

outcome document took up the topic of territorial planning and access to basic services for all, with a special emphasis on climate change.

70. The Government of Nepal approved its Climate Change Policy, with urban-focused inputs from UN-Habitat's Cities and Climate Change Initiative (CCCI). The Government of Sri Lanka also finalized its National Climate Change Policy, whose development was supported by the CCCI. The Government of Fiji approved a housing policy that, with CCCI inputs, mainstreamed the issue of climate change. The CCCI also provided inputs to a review of urban planning policy and legislation in Tanzania that took a climate change perspective.

71. In the Philippines, Sorsogon, one of the cities supported by the CCCI, developed "Guidelines for housing design and site plans", with an emphasis on adaptation to climate change. A climate change assessment for Sihanoukville in Cambodia was completed, while an in-depth ecological and zoning study for an environmentally-fragile area of Maputo, Mozambique, was also completed.

#### **E. Natural and human-made disasters**

72. Through paragraph 14 of its resolution 66/207, the GA stressed the importance of timely action by UN-Habitat in response to natural and human-made disasters, in particular through its work in addressing

post-disaster and post-conflict housing and infrastructure needs through its normative and operational work as part of the continuum from emergency relief to recovery and to urban development through effective urban planning.

73. During the reporting period, UN-Habitat launched a new four-year Urban Resilience Indexing Programme (URIP) under its risk reduction and rehabilitation work during the Rio+20 Conference. The primary justification for the URIP is to develop an integrated forward planning urban systems approach in partnership with cities, local governments, and private sector and research organizations. It is founded on the principles of 'resilience' that dynamically underpin improved capacity to protect urban citizens and their assets and recover from all hazards. URIP provides a set of indicators and global standards for calibrating the ability of urban systems to withstand and recover from crisis. It fills a large gap by providing a forward-looking, integrated, multi-hazard, and multi-stakeholder urban systems approach to planning and developing urban settlements.

74. Key outcomes of the Rio+20 summit relevant to natural and human made disasters and to the work of UN-Habitat include improved focus on disaster risk reduction and building resilience in urban areas through joint advocacy efforts with UNISDR. During the reporting period, the agency embarked on an agreement with USAID,

within the context of the Global Shelter Cluster of the Inter-Agency Standing Committee (IASC), on improving collaboration in post-crisis shelter response through coordination, learning and assessing impact on the lives of affected populations.

75. In line with its IASC responsibility, UN-Habitat launched an Emergency Fund which aims to deliver on its Strategic Policy on Human Settlements and Crisis (SPHSC), and to make the agency's humanitarian response more predictable, systematic and rapid. This fund will enable the organization to play a stronger role in inter-agency coordination and emergency response in the initial emergency response phases, and help to build the foundation for sustainable recovery and reconstruction by providing initial resources for rapid emergency response and programme formulation based on needs.

76. During the first six months of 2012, UN-Habitat responded to humanitarian crises in the Philippines, El-Salvador and Libya through deployment of missions in support of humanitarian country teams and the Government to coordinate housing and shelter rehabilitation response, and design long term housing reconstruction programmes in these countries. In Sri Lanka, UN-Habitat has mobilized additional resources of over US\$7 million to further assist reconstruction of 4000 damaged houses for the internally displaced persons (IDPs) following conflict in the northern part of the country. UN-Habitat is the lead

technical and implementing agency in housing reconstruction in Sri Lanka.

77. The Government of Norway and UN-Habitat embarked on third phase of disaster response and preparedness interventions in Myanmar to address disaster vulnerabilities in coastal and urban areas through a multi-hazard approach. UN-Habitat also fielded a mission to Cote d'Ivoire to address post conflict land and property issues and devise recommendations for promoting land conflict prevention and peace building within the stabilization and reconciliation framework.

78. Addressing land and property rights issues in post-crisis contexts is one of key areas of engagement for UN-Habitat. The agency further consolidated its position in providing technical assistance on post crisis housing, land and property conflicts in Iraq, Liberia, Afghanistan and DRC through technical assistance to humanitarian agencies, Governments and communities. This also includes support to UNHCR to develop lease certificates for IDPs/refugees receiving housing assistance in Iraq and Kyrgyzstan.

## **V. Other significant developments**

79. During the reporting period, UN-Habitat made significant progress with the implementation of the World Urban Campaign and preparations for the World Urban Forum, its two most important global

advocacy mechanisms. UN-Habitat also took part in **Rio+20**, whose outcome document contains a number of important commitments relevant to the Habitat Agenda.

**A. World Urban Campaign**

80. The World Urban Campaign (WUC) is a global partnership designed to promote a positive vision for sustainable urbanization and place the Urban Agenda in national development policies. It was launched at the fifth session of the World Urban Forum in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in March 2010. During the first half of 2012, the WUC made significant progress in improving internal and external communication. The Campaign Secretariat established two web platforms ([worldurbancampaign.org](http://worldurbancampaign.org) and [imacitychanger.org](http://imacitychanger.org)). It also produced a new communication brochure in three UN languages, as well as guidelines for joining the WUC.

81. A World Urban Campaign Fund was established as a common resource mobilization and funding facility to enable the Campaign to deliver its objectives and activities. Different levels of partnership and sponsorship have been proposed by the Secretariat and approved by the WUC Steering Committee.

82. The WUC has expanded its partnership base from 26 in 2011 to 42 organisations as of June 2012. These include political, civil society,

business community, experts and media partners. Campaign activities that develop knowledge, promote learning and dialogue on cities were carried out during the reporting period. A catalogue of tools and methods, and a global observatory on good policies and enabling legislation were also developed. A new working group on '*City Futures and Innovation*' was established.

83. The preparation of a WUC Manifesto for Cities towards Habitat III by the partners is on-going. The purpose of the Manifesto is to convey clear and compelling messages to decisions makers and stakeholders about the need for change in urban matters, based on the principles of the Campaign.

84. UN-Habitat supported the establishment or the revival of national habitat committees (NHCs), or national urban forums (NUFs), in several countries across Africa, Asia and the Pacific, and Latin America and the Caribbean. NHCs and NUFs are used for promoting national dialogue on urban issues.

85. As of June 2012, there were 40 NHCs and NUFs, from 35 in 2011. Countries where NHCs or NUFs have been established or revived are: Burkina Faso, Ghana, Malawi, Mozambique, Tanzania, Nigeria, Rwanda, Senegal, Lebanon, Indonesia, Nepal, Philippines, Vietnam, Bangladesh, Cambodia , Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Fiji, Kiribati,

Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu, Vanuatu, Cuba, Nicaragua, DRC, Kenya, Uganda, Indonesia, Nepal, , Afghanistan, China, Lao PDR, Costa Rica, Colombia, Haiti, Mexico and Ecuador.

86. UN-Habitat has developed guidelines on the composition, functions and mode of operation of NHCs and NUFs in order to enhance their impact. The guidelines also provide a framework for harmonization and alignment of the work of NHCs and NUFs with the new strategic priorities adopted during the current organizational reform of UN-Habitat. The main areas of national dialogue on urban issues highlighted in the guidelines include:

- Country level preparations for Habitat III;
- National urban policy;
- Impacts of urbanization on and the role of cities in national development;
- Creation of decent urban jobs, especially for the youth;
- Improving urban design and planning in order to enhance the efficiency of towns and cities;
- Formulation of a Global Housing Strategy, in line with UN-Habitat GC resolution 23/16; and
- The World Urban Campaign.

**B. World Urban Forum**

87. Preparations for the sixth session of the World Urban Forum (WUF 6) continued during the reporting period. WUF6 will be supported by all three levels of the Italian Government: the National level, the Region of Campania, and the City of Naples.

88. Following political changes in local and national political authorities in Italy last in 2011, and in the wake of the down turn of the economy worldwide, the host country called for a revision of an earlier agreement and the programme of WUF6 in January 2012. A new agreement was signed in June 2012, committing the Italian Government to host WUF6 in the Mostra d'Oltramare, Naples, during the first week of September.

89. The topic of WUF 6 is "Urban Future". Four main dialogues will be organized: the first on Productive Cities; the second on "Urban Planning"; the third on "Equity and Prosperity"; and the fourth on "Urban Mobility, Energy and Environmental Sustainability".

90. Apart from the dialogues, roundtables, networking, training and side events will also be organized. The process of inviting countries and Habitat Agenda Partners commenced in December 2011. Registration commenced in April 2012, with the intention of closing in late August 2012. By mid-July 2012, more than 5,000 prospective participants from over 140 countries had registered. These included

ministers, ambassadors, parliamentarians, mayors and leading representatives of civil society organizations. During the reporting period, the Governments of France and the USA made financial contributions for supporting the travel of participants to WUF 6.

91. WUF 6 will also host an exhibition, part of it open to the citizens. The WUC and “I’m a City Changer” campaign will be present at the exhibition and will be an important part of the WUF6. WUF6 will also be the first ‘strategy session’ for Habitat III, providing an opportunity and platform for consultations with Member States, Habitat Agenda Partners and organizations in the UN system on the scope and organization of Habitat III.

### **C. Outcome of Rio+20: Implications for the Habitat Agenda**

92. During the Rio+20 conference, UN-Habitat organized and participated in more than 50 side events related to sustainable cities and played a significant role in events such as the Global Town Hall.

93. The Rio+20 outcome document, entitled “The Future We Want”, included four key components that are particularly important to

UN-Habitat. In the section on Sustainable Cities and Human

Settlements, the outcome document recognizes the need for a holistic approach to urban development and human settlements that provides for affordable housing and infrastructure and prioritizes slum upgrading and urban regeneration. It further commits to promote an integrated approach to planning and building sustainable cities and urban settlements while seeking to promote sustainable development policies that support inclusive housing and social services. It also stresses the need to strengthen existing cooperation mechanisms or platforms, partnership arrangements and other implementation tools to

advance the coordinated implementation of the UN-Habitat Agenda,

and recognizes the continuing need for adequate and predictable

financial contributions to the UN-Habitat and Human Settlements

Foundation.

94. Other important issues in the outcome document that are relevant

to UN-Habitat's work include creating strong links between cities and

transport, recognition of water and sanitation as a human right, encouraging the creation of a road map for developing global sustainable development goals (SDGs) to be agreed by the United

Nations General Assembly and endorsement of the ten-year framework

of programmes on sustainable consumption and production.

95. In the medium-term, it will be important to ensure alignment between the SDGs on the dimension of sustainable cities and human settlements with the goals of the new urban agenda to be developed during the preparatory process for Habitat III.

## **VI. Conclusions and recommendations**

96. The recommendations arising from the above presentation are divided into two parts, i.e. those relating to Habitat III, and those relating to the implementation of the Habitat Agenda and strengthening of UN-Habitat.

### **A. Habitat III**

97. The most important event in the area of human settlements and urban development in the next few years is Habitat III, and its preparatory process will place significant demands on Member States, UN-Habitat, and the UN system as a whole. It is important for the preparatory process to be inclusive of all relevant stakeholders, especially local authorities and other Habitat Agenda Partners. The process will also need to be efficient and effective. In deciding on the scope, modalities, format and organization of Habitat III, the General Assembly might want to take into account the recommendations below.

(1) It is recommended that Habitat III be organized at the highest possible level, including Heads of State and Government, or other representatives, and in this context, the conference could be called the “World Summit on Housing and Sustainable Urban Development (Habitat III)”. It is further proposed that the theme of the Conference be “Sustainable Urban Development: The Future of Urbanization”.

Deleted: Cities

(2) On the basis of lessons learnt from Habitat II, and also from other recent international UN conferences, it will be important for the Preparatory Committee of Habitat III to be open to all Member States of the United Nations, with the participation, at all stages of the preparatory process, of observers in accordance with the established rules of procedure of the General Assembly, Habitat Agenda partners, including local authorities, and members of the United Nations system, including specialised agencies, funds and programmes.

(3) Habitat III should focus on the identification of accomplishments, new challenges, opportunities and areas where further efforts are needed through the implementation of a new urban agenda for the twenty-first century, which should build on the principles and gains achieved through the 1996 Habitat Agenda, the Declaration on Cities and Other Human Settlements in the New Millennium and relevant internationally agreed development goals, including those contained in the Millennium Declaration, the

Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development and Johannesburg Plan of Implementation, and the outcome of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio+20).

(4) The Conference should result in renewed political commitment and support for sustainable urban development and housing, and in a focused political document with clear, measurable and time-bound goals and targets.

(5) Habitat III, including its preparatory process, should ensure a balance between economic development, social development and environmental protection, as these are interdependent and mutually reinforcing components of sustainable urban development.

(6) The Conference should integrate into its preparatory process the outcome of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio+20), particularly those elements that relate to sustainable cities.

(7) It is recommended that the Preparatory Committee for Habitat III carry out the following tasks:

- (a) Organise meetings of the Preparatory Committee, as well as regional and sub-regional preparatory meetings, that could be held in conjunction with GC and WUF

sessions, as well as meetings of sub-regional and regional intergovernmental bodies, wherever possible;

- (b) Undertake a comprehensive review and assessment of the implementation of the Habitat Agenda, the Declaration on Cities and Other Human Settlements in the New Millennium, and other relevant internationally agreed development goals, with inputs coming from national assessments and regional preparatory meetings;
- (c) Identify major accomplishments and lessons learned in the implementation of the Habitat Agenda, the Declaration on Cities and Other Human Settlements in the New Millennium and all relevant internationally agreed development goals;
- (d) Identify major constraints hindering the implementation of the Habitat Agenda, the Declaration on Cities and Other Human Settlements in the New Millennium, and of all internationally agreed goals and commitments relevant to human settlements;
- (e) Identify and address new challenges and opportunities that have emerged since the Habitat II Conference and the Habitat Agenda, the Declaration on Cities and Other

Human Settlements in the New Millennium and other internationally agreed goals and commitments relevant to human settlements;

- (f) Propose a new 'Urban Agenda for the Twenty-first Century', with specific time-bound measures to address the constraints and new challenges identified, as well as institutional and financial support measures required to implement the new agenda;
- (g) Consider and decide on accreditation of relevant non-governmental organizations and other Habitat Agenda partners that are not in consultative status with the Economic and Social Council so as to enable their participation in the preparatory process and in the Conference itself;
- (h) Propose a provisional agenda and possible sub-themes for the Conference, based on the results of the preparatory activities carried out at the national, sub-regional, regional and international levels, taking into account also the inputs of the Habitat Agenda partners;
- (i) Propose rules and procedures for the participation of representatives of the Habitat Agenda partners in the

Conference, taking into account the rules and procedures applied at the Habitat II Conference; and

- (j) Undertake any other functions that may be required by the preparatory process.

(8) It is suggested that the Preparatory Committee for Habitat III hold an organizational meeting and three substantive sessions.

(9) The organizational meeting of the Preparatory Committee could be held in 2013, before the 24<sup>th</sup> session of the Governing Council (GC) of UN-Habitat. This will enable the GC to make decisions needed for supporting the Habitat III preparatory process from April 2013 to April 2015. It is recommended that, at this meeting, the Preparatory Committee:

**Deleted:** for at least three days

- (a) Elect, from among all States, a Bureau composed of ten members, with two representatives from each of the geographical groups, one of whom would be elected Chairperson and the others Vice-Chairpersons, and one of whom would also act as the Rapporteur;
- (b) Consider progress in preparatory activities carried out at the local, national, sub-regional, regional and international levels, as well as by the Habitat Agenda partners;

(c) Decide on the specific modalities of the future sessions of the Preparatory Committee;

(d) Consider a process for setting the agenda and determining possible sub-themes for the Conference in a timely manner;

(10) The first substantive session of the Preparatory Committee could be held in 2014, before and possibly back-to-back with the 7<sup>th</sup> session of the World Urban Forum, or in New York, provided this minimises the expenses of both Member States and the UN Secretariat. It is recommended that, at this first session, the Preparatory Committee undertake a comprehensive review and assessment of progress achieved in the implementation of the Habitat Agenda, the Declaration on Cities and Other Human Settlements in the New Millennium and other relevant internationally agreed development goals, and identify key elements for inclusion in the draft outcome document of the Conference.

**Deleted:** for at least three days

**Deleted:** at a location and time that

(11) The second substantive session of the Preparatory Committee could be held in 2015, before and possibly back-to-back with the 25<sup>th</sup> session of the Governing Council of UN-Habitat, or in New York, provided this minimises the expenses of both Member States and the UN Secretariat. It is recommended that, at this session,

**Deleted:** for at least five days

**Deleted:** again, at a location and time that

the Preparatory Committee negotiate on the text of a document containing, *inter alia*, a draft Urban Agenda for the Twenty-first Century that should:

- (a) emphasize the need for a global partnership to achieve the objectives of sustainable urban development and housing;
- (b) reconfirm the need for an integrated and strategically focused approach to the implementation of a new 'Urban Agenda for the Twenty-first Century' which addresses new global urban issues and forces, while building on the principles and gains achieved through the implementation of the 1996 Habitat Agenda, the Declaration on Cities and Other Human Settlements in the New Millennium and other relevant internationally agreed development goals; and
- (c) address the main challenges and opportunities faced by the international community in this regard.

(12) Following the example of Rio + 20, the third and final substantive session of the Preparatory Committee could be held in 2016 immediately prior to the Habitat III Conference and at the same venue as the Conference itself. It is recommended that, at its third

Deleted: for at least three days

session, the Preparatory Committee finalise a draft outcome document for consideration and adoption by the Habitat III Conference

(13) It is recommended that the concise and focused document prepared by the Preparatory Committee should be submitted for further consideration and adoption by the Summit and that the document should reinvigorate, at the highest political level, the global commitment to the accelerated implementation of the 'Urban Agenda for the Twenty-first Century', and to a higher level of partnership and international solidarity in the implementation of this agenda.

(14) ~~It is recommended that a trust fund for the Habitat III~~ Conference be established, and international and bilateral donors and other countries in a position to do so are also urged to support, through voluntary contributions to the trust fund, the global assessment of the implementation of the 1996 Habitat Agenda and the participation of representatives of developing countries, including Habitat Agenda Partners, in the regional and international preparatory processes and in the Conference itself.

**Deleted:** Member States are urged to establish

(15) The United Nations development system is encouraged to support, as appropriate, national preparations for the Conference, upon the request of national authorities.

## **B. Implementation of the Habitat Agenda and Strengthening of UN-Habitat**

(1) The preparatory process for Habitat III will place significant demands on UN-Habitat. One way of addressing this challenge is including some of the major substantive documents required for Habitat III as outputs in the Workprogramme and Budget of UN-Habitat for 2014-2015. This could include national, regional and global assessments of the implementation of the 1996 Habitat Agenda. One of the important background documents for Habitat II was the second issue of one of UN-Habitat's biennial flagship reports, titled *An Urbanizing World: Global Report on Human Settlements 1996*. In a similar way, the 2015 issue of the *Global Report on Human Settlements* could focus on assessing the implementation of the 1996 Habitat Agenda, globally, thus providing a useful background document for Habitat III.

(2) More than 50 per cent of the world's population now lives in cities and towns, and it has been estimated that urban centres contribute between 60 and 70 per cent of the world's greenhouse gas emissions. The important role that cities and their local authorities can play in climate change mitigation and adaptation, and in the attainment of sustainable development in general, is increasingly well understood. In this context, it is recommended that Governments provide sufficient

support to local authorities to put in place urban or local climate change mitigation and adaptation policies and strategies.

(3) In line with GA 62/198, Governments are encouraged to establish broad-based national habitat committees as a mechanism for enhancing national dialogue with respect to a number of issues, including: country level preparations for Habitat III; impacts of urbanization on and the role of cities in national ~~development~~; creation of decent urban jobs, especially for the youth; improving urban design and planning in order to enhance efficiency of the city; national urban policy; formulation of a Global Housing Strategy, in line with UN-Habitat GC resolution 23/16; and the World Urban Campaign.