

UNCIO - Commissions & Technical Committees - Commission 31  
Committee 1 - 6<sup>th</sup> meeting

01 May 1945  
30 May 1945

clean - NK  
01/06

S-1018  
Box - 11  
File - 8

(PAGE/2.2.3)



III/1

DOCUMENT TABLE  
ON  
CHAPTER VI. SECTIONS B, C, AND D  
OF  
DUMBARTON OAKS PROPOSALS

May 15, 1945

Note: This table attempts to set forth the amendments, comments, and proposals duly submitted by the various governments up to May 15, 1945, inclusive, covering Sections B, C, and D of Chapter VI of the Dumbarton Oaks Proposals, arranged by sections.

Although some of the comments and proposals of the various governments may not have been intended to be considered in the same category as amendments, those which have been deemed sufficiently definite in substance have been incorporated herein so as to ensure their consideration where this seemed appropriate. On the other hand mere discussions and opinions have not been included.

If there are any errors or omissions it is requested that the Secretariat of Committee I, Commission III, which prepared this document table, be advised immediately prior to its being finally printed.

Secretariat  
Committee I  
Commission III  
Rooms 419-420  
Veterans Building  
International 3300  
Ext: 137 or 215

May 15,,1945



COMMITTEE III/1 DOCUMENT TABLE

CHAPTER VI

<u>Dumbarton Oaks Proposals</u>	<u>Amendments Proposed by the Four Sponsoring Governments</u>
Section B. Principal Functions and Powers.	NONE
1. In order to ensure prompt and effective action by the Organization, members of the Organization should by the Charter confer on the Security Council primary responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security and should agree that in carrying out these duties under this responsibility it should act on their behalf.	

Amendments, Comments and Proposals

NORWAY (Doc. 2, G/7 (n)(1), p. 4). Amendment: In the title, delete the word "Principal".

"Reasons: As a matter of legal principle, the functions and powers of the organs of the Organization should be exhaustively stated in the Charter."

CHILE (Doc. 2, G/7 (i), p. 6). Add the following sentence:

"Such responsibility would last so long as the Assembly does not function and until it is called."

CZECHOSLOVAKIA (Doc. 2, G/14 (b), p. 2). Comment:

"The Council shall always have the right and the duty to take all conservatory measures necessary for the maintenance of peace and security."

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC (Doc. 2, G/14 (o), p. 11). Proposals:

"G) That amendment of Chapter VI, Section B, paragraph 1, be considered, in order that the powers to be granted the Security Council, if it is desired that the proposed organization be efficacious, be reconciled with the deliberative character of the General Assembly, according to its own nature. If this amendment, nevertheless, is not considered advisable, it would in any event be desirable that the special attributes of those organs be fixed with greater precision, particularly with respect to the two following points: a) the validity that should be given to the recommendations of the General Assembly or the Security Council, since the draft does not indicate whether or not these have imperative scope; and b) the unavoidable nature which should attend the obligation of the organ whose duty it is to hand down opinions or make proposals."



Chapter VI, Section B, continued.

ECUADOR (Doc. 2, G/7 (p), pp. 14-15). Proposals:

"The establishment of the duty of the Council to report on its general conduct to the Assembly, and the authority which the latter would have to address to the former recommendations or votes taken with the purpose of obtaining complete observance of the arduous and complex duties of the Council.

"Likewise, and in order to assure division of authority between the Assembly and the Council, it would be advisable to forbid the Council--as the Inter-American Juridical Committee has wisely suggested--to establish or modify principles or rules of law, and with greater reason if there should be accepted the Ecuadorean suggestion that it constitute an exclusive power of the Assembly."

EGYPT (Doc. 2, G/7, (q)(1), p.6). Comment:

"Paragraphs 1 and 4 of this Section should be modified to conform with the amendment to Chapter VIII, Section B, paragraph 4, proposed by the Egyptian Delegation with the object of referring to the examination of the General Assembly any decisions taken by the Security Council, implying the adoption of enforcement measures."

GUATEMALA (Doc. 2 G/7 (f)(1), p.2. See also Doc. 2, G/7 (f), p.2). Comment:

"It would be advisable to reduce the extent of the powers of the Security Council. An acceptable formula would be to assign them to the Council by delegation of the General Assembly, by subordinating the action of the Council to revision and approval by the Assembly."

MEXICO (Doc. 2, G/7 (c)(1), p. 10). Amendment indicated by underlining:

"1. In order to ensure prompt and effective action by the Organization members of the Organization should by the Charter, for a term of eight years, confer on the Security Council primary responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security and should agree that in carrying out these duties under this responsibility the Council should act on their behalf during the period in question, although with the limitations specified in paragraph 1 of Section B of Chapter V."

PARAGUAY (Doc. 2, G/7 (1), p.2). Proposals:

"The Assembly, in which representatives of all peace-loving nations of the world may have seats, is not competent even to admit to its membership another nation without the recommendation of the Council. This unbalance of powers could be corrected in such a way as to satisfy the feelings and the authority of the nations represented in the Assembly with the preferential status accorded to the Council, as follows:

"(a) The General Assembly must be the highest authority of the Association and, therefore, its competence must not be limited by reason of the nature of the questions.

"(b) The Security Council must not be a mere executive organ of the Assembly. While the Assembly is not in session, the Council should have equal competence to originate, in questions relative to the collective peace and security.



Chapter VI, Section B, continued.

"(c) The part assigned to the Great Powers in questions relative to collective security and world peace must be reconciled with the dignity of the General Assembly, with a suitable control of the percentages of votes necessary to enable the Assembly to review any possible unfair intervention by the Council."

TURKEY (Doc. 2, G/14 (e)(1), p.2). Comment with reference to Chapter V, Section B:

"The General Assembly is the new Organization's representative body par excellence. By delegating its powers, the General Assembly endows the Security Council with far-reaching prerogatives and responsibilities. It is therefore only right that, in return, the Security Council should report to the General Assembly on any decision it may have taken and applied, in virtue of these powers, for the maintenance of international peace and security."

VENEZUELA (Doc. 2, G/7 (d)(1), p.14). Comment:

"This section contains such a broad delegation of the Powers of the International Union to the Security Council that it appears practically unacceptable in its present form. It is useless to go over the arguments set forth concerning the matter. It is necessary to repeat, nevertheless, that such a delegation of powers can be admitted if there are attributed to the central organization, that is, the General Assembly, the necessary powers of control and if the member States are given the remedy of an appeal thereto; all the more because there will be represented in the Assembly all the members of the Council. For conflicts of a legal character or susceptible of being settled judicially, the respective observations of this report should be taken into account."



Chapter VI, Section B, continued.

<u>Dumbarton Oaks Proposals</u>	<u>Amendments Proposed by the</u> <u>Four Sponsoring Governments</u>
2. In discharging these duties the Security Council should act in accordance with the purposes and principles of the Organization.	NONE

---

Amendments, Comments and Proposals

CZECHOSLOVAKIA (Doc. 2, G/14 (b), p.2). Proposals:

"Should the Security Council come to the conclusion that international peace and security can be maintained only by measures not in conformity with these fundamental principles (respect for the territorial integrity and political independence of States-members), and especially by territorial changes, the matter should be laid before the Assembly. At the request of any party to the dispute, the question shall also be laid before the Assembly. In these cases the Assembly should decide by a two-thirds majority vote."

NORWAY (Doc. 2, G/7 (n)(1), p.4). Put after "Organization" a comma and

Add: "the provisions of the Charter and the consideration that no solution should be imposed upon a State of a nature to impair its confidence in its future security or welfare."

Reasons: The rules of conduct otherwise determined by the purposes and principles are here specified in a direction where doubts might otherwise arise."

---

<u>Dumbarton Oaks Proposals</u>	<u>Amendments Proposed by the</u> <u>Four Sponsoring Governments</u>
3. The specific powers conferred on the Security Council in order to carry out these duties are laid down in Chapter VIII.	NONE

---

No Amendments, Comments or Proposals

---

---

<u>Dumbarton Oaks Proposals</u>	<u>Amendments Proposed by the</u> <u>Four Sponsoring Governments</u>
4. All members of the Organization should obligate themselves to accept the	NONE



Chapter VI, Section B, continued.

decisions of the Security '   
Council and to carry them '   
out in accordance with the '   
provisions of the Charter. '   
'

---

Amendments, Comments and Proposals

LIBERIA (Doc. 2, G/14 (a), p. 2). Amendment:

"that the period (.) at the end of said section should be removed and a semicolon (;) placed in its stead and the phrase 'provided always that such decisions may be reviewed by the General Assembly,'"

MEXICO (Doc. 2, G/7 (c)(1), p. 11). Amendment: To put a comma after Charter, and add:

"although in the case of questions not calling for immediate action they shall have the right to appeal to the Asserby, with the restrictions provided by paragraph 1 of Section B of Chapter V." (See *ibid.*, pp. 6-7)

NETHERLANDS (Doc. 2, G/7 (j), p. 14). Proposal:

"No right of free appreciation with regard to decisions duly arrived at to be given to any state, except in questions of coercion by armed force of third parties, and then to all states, great or small (except when expressly stipulated otherwise in special regional agreements), and whether these states are members of the Security Council or not."



Dumbarton Oaks ProposalsAmendments Proposed By The  
Four Sponsoring Governments

NONE

5. In order to promote the establishment and maintenance of international peace and security with the least diversion of the world's human and economic resources for armaments, the Security Council, with the assistance of the Military Staff Committee referred to in Chapter VIII, Section B, paragraph 9, should have the responsibility for formulating plans for the establishment of a system of regulation of armaments for submission to the members of the Organization.

Amendments, Comments and Suggestions

URUGUAY (Doc. 2, G/7 (a)(1), p. 14. Amendment indicated by italic type:

"In order to promote the establishment and maintenance of international peace and security with the least diversion of the world's human and economic resources for armaments, the Security Council, with the assistance of the Military Staff Committee referred to in Chapter VIII, Section B, paragraph 9, shall have the responsibility for formulating plans for the establishment of a system of regulation of armaments, the production of which shall be reserved exclusively to governments, for submission to the members of the Organization."

Proposed Additional Paragraphs

CHILE (Doc. 2, G/7 (1), p. 6). Amendments:

"6. Decisions of the Council tending to impose, with respect to determined cases, specific obligations upon members of the Organizations, or upon certain of them, likewise require the approval of the Assembly by a majority in which, in addition to the votes of the States that are members of the Council, other States participate until reaching two-thirds of the members present in the Assembly.

"7. In cases of decisions of the Council that conform to the purposes and principles set forth in Chapters I and II and do not obtain a two-thirds vote in the Assembly, there is nothing to prevent from proceeding in accordance with the said decisions those members of the Council and those members of the Assembly who may have voted in favor of them or may voluntarily grant such participation.

"8. With respect to compulsive actions and collaborations of a military nature, there shall be obligated to participate therein only those States which shall have agreed to it by a ratified Agreement, as provided in Chapter VIII, Section A, paragraph 5.

"9. In cases of non-participation of members of the Organization in actions of the latter or of its members, in accordance with paragraphs 6, 7 and 8 above, non-participating members may not take positions or attitudes which may hinder or thwart such actions."



ECUADOR (Doc. 2, G/7 (p), p. 38). Add:

"6. Responsibility for the maintenance of international peace and security, vested upon the Security Council, shall entail the obligation of the latter to submit to the consideration of the General Assembly periodical and special reports in which it should render a detailed account, with reasons, of all its actions and decisions.

"The General Assembly should, correlatively, have the obligation of studying these reports and approving or disapproving them partly or wholly, according to its free judgment, and it should, furthermore, have the power to address recommendations or votes to the Security Council for the complete observance of the duties inherent in its responsibility to maintain international peace and security.

"7. In the fulfillment of the duties inherent in its responsibility to maintain international peace and security, the Security Council shall not establish or modify principles or rules of law but shall respect and enforce and apply the principles or rules of existing law."

NORWAY (Doc. 2, G/7 (n)(1), pp. 4-5). Amendments:

"6. The Security Council is empowered to enforce by appropriate means the execution of any final decision in a dispute between States delivered either by the Permanent Court of International Justice or by any other tribunal whose jurisdiction in the matter has been recognized by the States parties to the dispute."

"Reasons: The auto-execution by a State having obtained judgment in its favour should be excluded, but the corollary is that the Security Council may act even if no threat to the peace is involved in the particular dispute or in the non-execution of the judgment."

"7. The Security Council should perform such functions in relation to the election of the judges of the Permanent Court of International Justice as may be conferred upon it by the Statute of the Court."

"Reasons: See the analogous provision of Chapter V, B, 4."



## CHAPTER VI

<u>Dumbarton Oaks Proposals</u>	<u>Amendments Proposed By The Four Sponsoring Governments</u>
(The text as proposed at the Crimea Conference)	
<u>Section C. Voting</u>	
1. Each member of the Security Council should have one vote.	NONE
2. Decisions of the Se- curity Council on procedural matters should be made by an affirmative vote of seven members.	
3. Decisions of the Se- curity Council on all other matters should be made by an affirmative vote of seven members including the concurring votes of the permanent members; pro- vided that, in decisions under Chapter VIII, Section A, and under the second sentence of paragraph 1 of Chapter VIII, Section C, a party to a dispute should abstain from voting.	

---

### Amendments, Comments and Proposals

AUSTRALIA (Doc. 2, G/14 (1), p. 8). Amendment:

"(1) Each member of the Security Council shall have one vote.

"(2) Except as otherwise expressly provided, a decision of the Security Council may be made upon the affirmative vote of seven members.

"(3) In decisions of the Security Council under Section (A) of Chapter VIII and under the first paragraph of Section (C) of Chapter VIII, a party to a dispute shall abstain from voting.

"(4) Under Section (B) of Chapter VIII, a decision of the Security Council shall require the affirmative vote of seven members, including the five permanent members. Under paragraph (2) of Section (C) of Chapter VIII, a decision of the Security Council shall require the affirmative vote of seven members, including at least three of the permanent members."



Chapter VI, Section C, continued

BRAZIL (Doc. 2, G/7 (e), p. 9). Comment and Amendment:

"Thus, with regard to the present chapter, the Delegation of Brazil limits itself to: (a) manifesting a desire that in the definitive plan of the world organization, in so far as it relates to voting procedure on the Security Council, the regulation be adopted that the State violating the obligations contained in the basic charter will not have the right to vote, when the matter to which the violation relates is to be resolved; (b) indicating the necessity that the following words be omitted from paragraph 5 of Section D, in the event that the principle of the universality of the new international organization is accepted: 'and any State not a member of the Organization'; (c) suggesting an amplification of Section D, which would be called number 6 and would read as follows:

"6. In cases foreseen in the two preceding paragraphs numbers 4 and 5), a member of the Organization allowed to participate in the discussion should, in voting matters, have a position equal to that granted to members of the Council."

CANADA (Doc. 2, G/14 (t), pp. 1-2). Amendment:

Paragraph 3. Substitute for the first clause the following:

"Decisions of the Security Council on all other matters shall be made by an affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds of all the members of the Security Council including the concurring votes of the permanent members;" (provided that, etc.)

CUBA (Doc. 2, G/14 (g)(1), p. 5). Amendments:

"2. The Decisions of the Council should be made by an affirmative vote of two-thirds of its members except for decisions of mere procedure, where a simple majority would be sufficient. In doubtful cases, the Council, by a vote of two-thirds of its members, will decide whether the matter on hand is or is not one of mere procedure.

"3. In matters concerning the maintenance of peace and international security, to which Chapter VIII refers, decisions must be made by a vote of two-thirds of the seven States mentioned in paragraph a) of subsection 1 of Section A of Chapter VI, and by a vote of two-thirds of the other members of the Council."

ECUADOR (Doc. 2, G/7 (p), pp. 38-39). Amendments:

"2. All decisions of the Security Council, whatever may be the subject dealt with, shall require the affirmative vote of eight of its members for approval.

"3. Members of the Council who are parties to a dispute or controversy, the cognizance of which directly or



Chapter VI, Section C, continued

indirectly devolves upon the Council itself, shall refrain from voting on decisions which the latter takes, in accordance with its powers and authority established in Chapter VIII of the Proposals, in connection with such dispute or controversy."

EGYPT (Doc. 2, G/7 (q)(1), p. 7). Amendments:

"2. Decisions of the Security Council on matters of procedure should be made by an affirmative vote of eight members.

"3. Decisions of the Security Council on all other matters shall be made by an affirmative vote of eight members including the concurring votes of the Permanent Members or the votes of at least four of them, being understood that a party to a dispute should abstain from voting when that dispute is under examination."

EL SALVADOR (Doc. 2, G/14 (j), p. 1). Addition after paragraph 3:

"4. In dealing with matters that are not of a procedural nature, if the affirmative vote of seven or more members of the Security Council includes the concurring votes of a majority of the permanent members of the Council, but not the unanimous vote of such permanent members, the Security Council shall refer the matter under consideration to the General Assembly for final decision and shall act in due time in accordance with such a decision of the General Assembly, which in this case will require a two-thirds majority vote."

ETHIOPIA (Doc. 2, G/14 (n), pp. 1-2). Amendment to paragraph 3:

Insert "after the words 'Chapter VIII, Section A' the words 'and Section B, paragraph 3'."

FRANCE (Doc. 2, G/7 (u), Part II, pp. 2-3). Amendment to Section C:

"(1) To maintain and to restore international peace and security, the Security Council shall have recourse, according to circumstances, to 'recommendations' or 'decisions'. 'Recommendations' shall be approved by an (unqualified) two-thirds majority vote of the members of the Council. 'Decisions' shall be approved by a qualified two-thirds majority vote, (including the concurring votes of the permanent members).

"Should the Council not succeed in reaching a decision, the members of the Organization reserve to themselves the right to act as they may consider necessary in the interest of peace, right and justice."

GREECE (Doc. 2, G/14 (1), p. 2). Amendment:

Insert between paragraphs 2 and 3 the following:

"Paragraph 3: 'Recommendations by the Security Council



Chapter VI, Section C, continued

under paragraph 2 of Section B of Chapter VIII should be made by an affirmative vote of seven members.'

"The original paragraph 3 would thus become paragraph 4."

GUATEMALA (Doc. 2, G/7 (f)(1), p. 1). Comment:

"It seems logical that the members of the Security Council, as well as those of other organs should retain the right to speak, but not to vote, when questions are discussed or measures have to be taken particularly affecting the interests of their respective nations, as otherwise, such countries would be placed in an advantageous position with respect to the others."

IRAN (Doc. 2, G/14 (m), p. 2). Amendment to Section C:

"1. Each member of the Security Council should have one vote.

"2. Decisions of the Security Council on all matters should be made by an affirmative vote of nine members; provided that, in decisions under Chapter VIII, Section A, and under the second sentence of Paragraph 1 of Chapter VIII, Section C, a party to a dispute should abstain from voting."

NETHERLANDS (Doc. 2, G/7 (j)(1), p. 4). Amend paragraph 3 to read:

"(3) Decisions of the Security Council on all other matters should be made by an affirmative vote including the concurrent votes of the permanent members and of one-half of, or--in cases in which the number of non-permanent members entitled to vote is uneven--the majority of the non-permanent members, provided that in decisions under Chapter 8, Section A and under the second sentence of paragraph 1 of Chapter 8, Section C, a party to a dispute should abstain from voting."

NORWAY (Doc. 2, G/7 (n)(1), p. 5). Amendment to paragraph 3:

To require a vote of "eight" members.

PHILIPPINE COMMONWEALTH (Doc. 2, G/14 (k), pp. 3, 7). Amendment: Substitute for the first clause of paragraph 3 the following:

"3. Decisions of the Security Council on all other matters should be made by an affirmative vote of a majority of the permanent members and a majority of the non-permanent members, voting separately;"

and add at the end of paragraph 3 (after "voting")

"In all decisions involving the use of armed forces to maintain peace, an affirmative vote of four-fifths



Chapter VI, Section C, continued

(4/5) of the permanent members and three-fourths (3/4) of the non-permanent members of the Security Council should be required."

"It is proposed that if the amendments on the two subjects listed above, as embodied in this document, are not found acceptable, the following alternative proposal be considered:

"4. Should the Security Council, by reason of the non-concurrence of one permanent member, fail to arrive at a decision under Paragraph 3 of this section, the matter in question should be submitted to the General Assembly for final decision. Decisions of the General Assembly on matters submitted to it by the Security Council under this paragraph should be made by an affirmative vote of three-fourths (3/4) of all the members."

URUGUAY (Doc. 2, G/7 (a)(1), p. 14), Amendment:

Add the following paragraph:

"4. The Security Council shall examine every three years, or upon its own initiative, the possibility of making generally applicable to all decisions the rule contained in the second part of the preceding numbered paragraph."

VENEZUELA (Doc. 2, G/7 (d)(1), p. 34), Proposal:

"That it be provided that a State or States with seats on the Council, when parties to a controversy, shall be entitled to a hearing only."



## CHAPTER VI

### Dumbarton Oaks Proposals

#### Section D. Procedure.

1. The Security Council should be so organized as to be able to function continuously and each state member of the Security Council should be permanently represented at the headquarters of the Organization. It may hold meetings at such other places as in its judgment may best facilitate its work. There should be periodic meetings at which each state member of the Security Council could if it so desired be represented by a member of the government or some other special representative.

### Amendments Proposed by the Four Sponsoring Governments

NONE

---

### Amendments, Comments and Proposals

MEXICO (Doc. 2, G/7 (c)(1), p. 3). Proposal:

"To specify that, although the Council is to be composed in such a way as to be able to function constantly in principle it shall meet every three months."

VENEZUELA (Doc. 2, G/7 (d)(1), p. 15). Comment:

"The idea does not seem clear and could give rise to doubts concerning the continuity of the meetings of the Council or their periodicity."

---

### Dumbarton Oaks Proposals

2. The Security Council should be empowered to set up such bodies or agencies as it may deem necessary for the performance of its functions including regional subcommittees of the Military Staff Committee.

### Amendments Proposed by the Four Sponsoring Governments

2. The Security Council should be empowered to set up such bodies or agencies as it may deem necessary for the performance of its functions including regional subcommittees of the Military Staff Committee.



Chapter VI, Section D, continued.

Amendments, Comments and Proposals

EGYPT (Doc. 2, G/7 (q)(1), p. 7). Comment:

"The nature and the functions of the bodies and agencies referred to ought to be precised and defined."

Dumbarton Oaks Proposals

3. The Security Council should adopt its own rules of procedure, including the method of selecting its President.

4. Any member of the Organization should participate in the discussion of any question brought before the Security Council whenever the Security Council considers that the interests of that member of the Organization are specially affected.

5. Any member of the Organization not having a seat on the Security Council and any state not a member of the Organization, if it is a party to a dispute under consideration by the Security Council, should be invited to participate in the discussion relating to the dispute.

Amendments Proposed by the Four Sponsoring Governments

NONE

5. Any member of the Organization not having a seat on the Security Council and any state not a member of the Organization, if it is a party to a dispute under consideration by the Security Council, should be invited to participate in the discussion relating to the dispute. In the case of a non-member, the Security Council should lay down such conditions as it may deem just for the participation of such a non-member.

Amendments, Comments and Proposals

BRAZIL (Doc. 2, G/7 (e), p. 2 See also Doc. 2, G/7 (c)(2), p. 3).  
Comment:

"It considers that a State convoked as a member ad hoc of the Security Council, Paragraphs 4 and 5, Section D, Chapter VI of the project, since it is involved in or party to a controversy submitted to that body, should have a voting status equal to that of titular members, it being believed that the latter, under such conditions, should not have the right to vote."

CANADA (Doc. 2, G/14 (t), p. 2). Amendments as follows:

"4. Any member of The United Nations not represented on the Security Council shall be invited to send a representative to sit as a member at any meeting of the Security Council during the consideration of matters specially affecting the interests of that member of The United Nations.



Chapter VI, Section D, continued.

"5. Any member of The United Nations not represented on the Security Council and any state not a member of The United Nations, if it is a party to a dispute under consideration by the Security Council, shall be invited to send a representative to sit as a member at any meeting of the Security Council during the consideration of the dispute."

CHILE (Doc. 2, G/7 (i), pp. 6-7). Amendment to paragraph 5:

"5. Any member of the Organization not having a seat on the Security Council and any State not a member of the Organization should be invited to participate in the discussion relating to a dispute if such member or State is a party to a dispute under consideration by the Security Council, or if, without its being a party to such dispute, the decisions that might be taken thereon would affect it."

ETHIOPIA (Doc. 2, G/14 (n), p. 2). Amendment to paragraph 5:

"Line 16, after the words 'relating to the dispute' insert the words 'on the same basis as the other party or parties to the dispute.'"

MEXICO (Doc. 2, G/7 (c)(1), p. 11). Amendments:

"4. Any member of the Organization should participate in the discussion of any question brought before the Security Council whenever its interests shall be specially affected by said question, it being sufficient for decision that said condition has been fulfilled, that two Members of the Council agree thereto, in addition to the member in question of the Organization.

"5. Any member of the Organization not having a seat on the Security Council and any State not a member of the Organization, if it is a party to a dispute under consideration by the Security Council, should be invited to participate in the discussion relating to the dispute. In order to decide whether this condition has been fulfilled, the procedure provided by the preceding paragraph shall be applied."

VENEZUELA (Doc. 2, G/7 (d)(1), pp. 36-37). Proposals:

(Paragraph 4) "That the possibility be provided for that the favorable vote of a special minority of members, whether permanent or otherwise, of the Council be sufficient to enable a State interested in a question to take part in the discussion thereof but without the right to vote."

(Paragraph 5) "That it be specifically stipulated that any State not represented in the Council and a party to a controversy, shall be entitled to participate in the discussion relating thereto of the Council but without the right to vote."

---

Amendments, Comments and Proposals

Section D. Proposed Additional Paragraphs.

CANADA (Doc. 2, G/14 (t), p. 2). Amendment:

"Add new paragraph 6:

"The Security Council shall submit annual and, when necessary, special reports to the General Assembly for its consideration."



Chapter VI, Section D, continued.

LIBERIA (Doc. 2, G/14 (a), p. 2). Amendment:

"The following section should be added or included:

"16. Any member of the Security Council whose Government shall be involved in any dispute or either question arising or pending before that Council should be precluded from participating in decisions taken on such question or in such dispute."



THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE  
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION  
San Francisco, California, U.S.A.

*Attendance Sheet*

*60*

MAY 12 1945



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation AUSTRALIA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate F. M. Locke  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation BELGIUM  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate J. van der Elst  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation BOLIVIA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate Carlos Salasmanca  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation BRAZIL  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate *Edelweiss*  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation BYELO-RUSSIAN - S.S.R.  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate Dr. Anton R. Zhebrak.  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation CANADA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate L. S. St. Laurent  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation CHILE  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate Contreras-Labarca  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation CHINA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

Chung-fu Chang  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation COLOMBIA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

*Alfonso Vallejo*  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation COSTA RICA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate *Luis Anderson*  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



# ATTENDANCE RECORD


Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation CUBA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

GUY PEREZ LISNEROS

Signature



(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation CZECHOSLOVAKIA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

Josef Hane  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

MAY 12 1945

Commission III Committee 1 Date \_\_\_\_\_

Delegation ECUADOR  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

Gonzalo Sanchez  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1970

Delegation EGYPT  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate Same as yesterday  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation ETHIOPIA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate *Ambayeus*  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

MAY 12 1945

Commission III Committee 1 Date \_\_\_\_\_

Delegation FRANCE  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate J. Forquies Duparc  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

J. Forquies Duparc



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation GREECE  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate TH. AGHYNIDES  
Signature


(to be collected by the usher)

# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation GUATEMALA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation HAITI  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

*A. Levelt.*

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation HONDURAS  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

Juan R. Rivas  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation INDIA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate A. Ramaswami Mudaliar  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation IRAN  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate M. Adly  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation IRAQ  
(fill in name of country)

*Arshad Al Qumari*  
*M. F. Jamali*

Voting Delegate

*Arshad Al Qumari*  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation LEBANON  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate JOSEPH SALEM  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

*Joseph Salem*



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation LIBERIA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

John Marshall Gibson  
Signature


(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation LUXEMBOURG  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation MEXICO  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

GARCIA-ROBLES Alfonso  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation THE NETHERLANDS  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate Dr. KIELSTRA  
Signature *Kielstra*

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation NEW ZEALAND  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate J. V. WILSON  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation Nicaragua  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate Guillermo Sevilla-Sacasa  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

MAY 12 1945

Commission III Committee 1 Date \_\_\_\_\_

Delegation NICARAGUA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

Guillermo Sevilla Sacsa  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation NORWAY  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

*Amund Noyenstjerne*  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation CELSO R. VELAQUEL -  
PARAGUAY  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

Celso R. Velazquez   
Signature


(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation PERU  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate   
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation PHILIPPINE COMMONWEALTH  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

Signature

*Carlos P. Garcia*

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation SYRIA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate



Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation TURKEY  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate Feridun Cemal ERKIN  
Signature


(to be collected by the usher)

*Feridun Cemal ERKIN*

# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation SOUTH AFRICA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate   
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee L Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation UKRAINE - S.S.R.  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate J. Senish  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation UNITED KINGDOM  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

Alexander Logan  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission \_\_\_\_\_ Committee \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Delegation WS  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate --

Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation URUGUAY  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate R. C. Mauchner  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate

*Lawrence*

Signature


(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

MAY 12 1945

Commission III Committee 1 Date \_\_\_\_\_

Delegation VENEZUELA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate   
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

# ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation YOGOSLAVIA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate STANOJE SIMIC  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation ARGENTINA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature \_\_\_\_\_

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation EL SALVADOR  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)

ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation PANAMA  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

(to be collected by the usher)



ATTENDANCE RECORD

Commission III Committee 1 Date MAY 12 1945

Delegation DOMINICAN REPUBLIC  
(fill in name of country)

Voting Delegate \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature \_\_\_\_\_

(to be collected by the usher)

RESTRICTED  
Doc. 273 (ENGLISH)  
III/1/12 (2)  
May 24, 1945

CORRIGENDUM TO SUMMARY REPORT OF  
SIXTH MEETING OF COMMITTEE III/1  
May 12, 1945  
(Doc. 273, III/1/12)

---

(At the request of the Delegate of Chile)

Page 1, under Section 1, delete third paragraph  
and substitute the following:

Among the delegations which had previously proposed an increase in the size of the Council, the Delegate of Honduras withdrew his amendment. The Delegates of Egypt, Cuba, and Ecuador declared that they would no longer press their amendments but hoped that their views might be met in the future. The Delegate of Mexico in withdrawing his amendment declared that his Delegation maintained its desire for an increase in the number of non-permanent members, and hoped that its view would be realized as quickly as circumstances permitted. The Iranian Delegation declared that while it would not withdraw its amendment, it would not press it and would abstain from voting. At the request of the Chairman the Delegate of Chile withdrew his amendment.

6<sup>th</sup>

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE  
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

In the summary of this Committee, meeting of May 12, appear the Delegation of Chile withdrawing its amendment before the other countries, at the same time as Honduras. = That was not exactly the situation. On the contrary, Chile withdrew its amendment the last one and only at the express request of the Chairman. =

Recd from Chilean delegation  
with request for  
Corrigendum

Corrigendum in works 5/24  
Rey



de la contribution sous ces rubriques qu'il a apportée durant la Deuxième Guerre Mondiale.

L'Australie et le Canada ont soumis des propositions qui sont quelque peu similaires ~~l'une aux autres~~ les unes aux autres. Les propositions australiennes sont les suivantes:

~~"L'existence de membres permanents et de membres non-permanents"~~

"Le choix destiné à remplir les sièges non-permanents sera effectué parmi ceux des Etats qui, par leur contribution antérieure à la cause de la sécurité du monde, se sont prouvés capables et décidés d'assumer <sup>des</sup> responsabilités considérables dans le maintien de la sécurité, ou qui sont décidés ~~à le faire~~ ~~de manière~~ et, en raison de leur situation géographique <sup>par rapport</sup> ~~relativement~~ à des régions d'une importance ~~très~~ stratégique primordiale, sont capables de contribuer de façon considérable au maintien de la ~~paix~~ paix et de la sécurité internationales."

*Je mets maintenant aux voix  
le texte de Dumbarton Oaks*

(5)

5.3. Discussion du Chapitre VI, Section A, deuxième phrase.

Le Comité peut maintenant examiner la seconde phrase de la Section A du Chapitre VI qui est ainsi conçue:

"Les représentants des Etats-Unis d'Amérique du Royaume Uni de la Grande Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord, de l'Union des Républiques Soviétiques Socialistes, de la République de Chine, et, le moment venu, de la France, devraient avoir des sièges permanents."

Les puissances invitantes n'ont pas proposé d'amender cette phrase.

Les amendements proposés par les autres puissances sont au nombre de trois: (1) Le Canada a proposé la suppression des mots "le moment venu", (2) La République du Mexique a proposé que toute la phrase soit supprimée et remplacée par un nouveau texte, et (3) certaines nations de l'Amérique Latine ont proposé qu'un siège permanent au Conseil soit attribué à l'Amérique Latine.

(a) S'il n'y a pas d'objection, je propose que nous examinions d'abord la proposition de la République du Mexique qui s'écarte le plus, en substance, du texte de Dumbarton Oaks. J'observe que la Délégation de Cuba présente aussi un nouveau texte à ce sujet, cependant l'amendement cubain est essentiellement basé sur le fait que le nombre des Etats faisant partie du Conseil de Sécurité serait porté de 11 à 15. Or sur ce premier point le Comité a écarté cette proposition de l'augmentation à 15. Il est permis de se demander si ce fait n'affecte pas l'ensemble de l'amendement cubain. Je propose au Comité, pour la commodité de la marche de nos débats, de laisser au Délégué de Cuba le soin de régler son attitude

sur ce point qui l'intéresse au premier chef. Sa décision se manifesterait au moment du vote sur cette phrase et sur celles qui suivent.

faire une liste  
(a)  
(b)  
(c)



~~LE PRÉSIDENT:~~ (b) Les autres modifications proposées pour cette deuxième phrase ont trait à l'attribution d'un siège permanent à L'Amérique Latine. On peut dire que cette proposition a été rejetée en tant qu'elle faisait virtuellement partie des amendements présentés par la République du Mexique.

Si tel est l'avis du Comité je passe à l'amendement canadien.

Je considère que nous avons terminé notre étude de la

deuxième phrase et que le Comité approuve cette phrase

telle qu'elle se présente dans le texte original de Dumbarton Oaks.

(Le Président prend son vote sur "in des courses" de proposition de Canada.)

Sauf pour la proposition de Canada pour éliminer "in des courses" des langues romanes. voter maintenant

Mette aux voix l'amendement Canadien.



S'il n'y a pas d'objection, je sou mets au comite la proposition du Mexique tendant a supprimer la deuxieme phrase de la section A, Chapitre VI et a lui substituer le texte suivant;

"Sur ces <sup>douze</sup> ~~onze~~ membres, six qui seront <sup>nommes sous le</sup> ~~designes~~ du nom de membres semi-permanents, <sup>seront les etats sur lesquels reposera surtout</sup> ~~devraient avoir~~ la responsabilite <sup>au sein de la communaut  des nations du soin de maintenir la paix ;</sup> ~~envers le maintien de la paix~~ est la plus grande, et ce sera <sup>il appartiendra</sup> ~~la responsabilite de~~ <sup> </sup> l'Assemblee de <sup>choisir</sup> ~~decider~~ tous les <sup>Les etats qui seront ranges dans cette categorie</sup> ~~quels sont ces etats.~~ <sup>Pendant</sup> la premiere periode de huit ans, <sup>Les pays suivants seront consideres comme repandant aux</sup> ~~il est en considere que les Etats qualifies sont:~~

conditions requises:

Les Etats unis d'Amerique, le Royaume Uni de la Grande Bretagne et de l'Irlande du Nord, l'Union des Republiques Socialistes Sovietiques, la Republique de Chine, la France et ... (une <sup>Latino Americaine -</sup> Republique de ~~l'Amerique Latine~~)."

(Au cas o  la proposition mexicaine est repoussee.)

~~Au vote~~ <sup>Cursite amendement de Canada</sup>

~~Les autres amendements a cette phrase concernant un siege permanent~~

~~pour~~

~~insere~~

B

# 6. Discussion du chapitre VI, Section A, troisieme phrase.

1/ Le Comite <sup>era</sup> ~~considere~~ <sup>maintenant</sup> ~~la~~ troisieme phrase de la section A du chapitre VI qui est ainsi concue: (6)

8/

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE  
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

Je mets aux voix l'ensemble de l'  
Amendement canadien.

---

9

"L'Assemblée Générale devra~~et~~ désigner six états pour occuper les sièges non-permanents." <sup>(a) Propositions d'augmenter le nombre des sièges non-permanents</sup> Certains Etats ont <sup>d'augmenter</sup> proposé ~~que~~ le nombre des sièges non-permanents. Par suite de la décision du comité sur le nombre total des membres du conseil, la question d'une augmentation <sup>dans</sup> du nombre de sièges non permanents ne sera pas reconsidérée, puisqu'elle a été décidée ~~en~~ ~~en~~ en ce qui concerne ce comité.

En raison de la décision prise au sujet des deux premières phrases, certaines délégations désireront sans doute retirer les propositions présentées par leurs gouvernements au sujet de la <sup>1<sup>re</sup> partie de la</sup> troisième phrase.

(b) Nous considérons maintenant les amendements ~~à la section A~~ du proposés par les nations invitantes à la section A du Chapitre VI et qui furent ~~xxxxx~~ <sup>sa quatrième</sup> approuvées <sup>le 10 mai</sup> à la séance d'hier. Il s'agit de l'addition suivante à la troisième phrase de la Section A du Chapitre VI du texte de Dumbarton Oaks;

"~~xxxx~~ en tenant compte, en premier lieu, de la contribution des membres de l'Organisation au maintien de la paix et de la sécurité internationales~~et~~ et aux autres fins de l'Organisation, ainsi que d'une répartition géographique équitable".

~~xxxx~~ A sa Quatrième séance, le 10 Mai, ce comité a décidé de considérer ces amendements dans leur ~~entier~~ ensemble.

(c) Proposition des Pays Bas  
Le Comité considérera maintenant l'amendement proposé par les Pays Bas



7.

(8)

Wilson

et qui semble être le plus éloigné du texte de Dumbarton Oaks tel qu'il est modifié ci dessus. Cet amendement est ainsi conçu:

"Cette question interesse tout particulièrement un pays tel que les Pays Bas , qui en raison de sa population, de sa situation et de ses ressources, sera en mesure de contribuer de façon substantielle au succès du Plan, dès que le pays sera restauré en Europe et en Asie. Les <sup>gouvernements</sup>

Pays Bas considère que les Etats, qui, sans faire partie des Grandes Puissances, sont dans cette position, devraient être représentés de façon <sup>suffisante</sup> ~~adéquate~~ ~~au~~ au Conseil de sécurité; Il juge qu'on devrait prendre les mesures nécessaires et appropriées à cette fin"

(Au cas où cette proposition est repoussée.)

Les propositions suivantes ~~paraissent~~ <sup>motus</sup> ~~paraissent~~ éloignées du texte et comprennent (1) des demandes de représentation spéciale pour l'Amérique <sup>Latine</sup> ~~du Sud~~ et (2) des propositions de représentation par zones géographiques. S'il n'y a pas d'objection, on considèrera d'abord la demande de représentation spéciale pour l'Amérique <sup>Latine</sup> ~~du Sud~~. Les demandes suivantes ont été faites:

Chili

-Représentation <sup>suffisante</sup> ~~adéquate~~ pour l'Amérique <sup>Latine</sup> ~~du Sud~~.

Republique dominicaine -Un tiers des sièges non permanents pour

l'Amérique ~~du Sud~~ <sup>Latine</sup>

(8)

Equateur -Trois sièges non permanents pour l'Amerique ~~du Sud~~  
 Guatemala -Plusieurs sieges nonpermanents pour l'Amerique du S  
 Honduras -Trois sieges non permanents pour l'Amerique du Sud  
 Mexique -Deux sieges non permanents pour l'Amerique latine  
 Venezuela Representation <sup>suffisante</sup> ~~adequate~~ pour l'Amerique latine

Puisque ces ~~propositions sont~~ <sup>principes se ressemblent</sup> essentiellement ~~car~~, je propose au  
 comite de considerer d'abord ~~xxxx~~ la question generale de la garantie  
 d'un ou plusieurs sieges non permanents a l'Amerique latine. Une fois  
 ce principe etabli, le comite pourra considerer la proposition de l'  
 Equateur et de l'~~H~~onduras demandant trois sieges pour l'Amerique latine.  
 Ceci <sup>principe</sup> constitue le ~~texte~~ le plus eloigne du texte. Cependant, puisque ces  
 propositions sont basees sur l'assomption que ~~le nombre des~~  
 sieges ~~permanents~~ non permanents sera augmente et puisque le Comite a  
 repousse une proposition ~~de~~ d'augmentation du nombre des sieges les ~~ix~~  
 delegues de l'Equateur et de l'~~H~~onduras desir~~ent~~ peut-etre retirer  
 leur recommandation. Quel est leur bon plaisir?

~~(Traiter de facon semblable les autres demandes specifiques, (au cas~~  
~~ou la question de trois sieges pour l'Amerique latine serait retiree~~  
~~ou repoussee.))~~

proposition

demandant

Nous considererons maintenant ~~xxxx~~ la demande du Mexique ~~qu'on~~

~~qu'on~~ reserve deux sieges non permanents pour l'Amerique latine pendant



lire comme suit:

(11)

"L'Assemblée Générale devrait élire les six représentants qui rempliront les sièges non-permanents sur la base de régions géographique, ~~chaque~~ chaque région ayant droit à un représentant. Ces régions géographiques devraient être: <sup>1)</sup> (Amérique du Nord et Amérique Centrale, 2) Amérique du Sud, 3) Europe, 4) Afrique, 5) Asie Occidentale, et 6) Communauté du Pacifique Occidental. L'Assemblée Générale devrait déterminer quelles sont les nations qui devraient être incluses dans chaque région géographique. Ces six représentants devraient être élus pour un terme de deux ans, trois d'entre-eux se retirant chaque année.

(Au cas où cette proposition serait rejetée)

La Délégation de l'Uruguay a proposé l'adjonction suivante à la troisième phrase de la Section A du Chapitre VI:

".....accordant une représentation à des unités géographiques tenant compte, au moyen d'un système de rotation entre eux, de l'individualité internationale généralement

reconnue de chacun des Etats qui les composent et qui ne sont pas représentés au Conseil de Sécurité."

Le Comité peut maintenant passer à la proposition ~~suivante~~ suivante, soumise par le Délégué de l'Inde:

"L'Assemblée Générale devrait nommer six Etats pour remplir les sièges non-permanents. En procédant à ces nominations, l'Assemblée Générale devrait dûment tenir compte de la population, la capacité industrielle et économique, la contribution en forces armées, les services et l'assistance relatives que chaque Etat s'engage de mettre à la disposition, conformément au paragraphe 5 de la Section B du Chapitre VII, ~~et~~ tenant également compte ~~de l'individualité internationale généralement~~



9.  
les huit premières années.

(Au cas où ceci est repoussé.)

(10) Wilson  
Nous considérerons la recommandation du Chili et du Venezuela ~~adxxxx~~  
<sup>suffisant</sup>  
demandant une représentation ~~adéquate~~ pour l'Amérique latine.

(Au cas où on a disposé de ce sujet.)

Le comité considérera maintenant les propositions de l'Égypte,  
du Commonwealth des Philippines et de l'Uruguay <sup>recommandant que</sup> ~~que~~ les sièges soient  
accordés suivant les zones géographiques. La délégation égyptienne  
propose de remplacer la troisième phrase de la Section A du Chapitre VI  
du texte de Dumbarton Oaks ~~par~~ par la Phrase suivante:

"L'Assemblée Générale désignera un Etat-Membre pour représenter  
chaque zone pour une période de trois ans, trois des états se retirant ~~et~~  
chaque année."

(Au cas où ceci est repoussé")

La proposition du Commonwealth des Philippines est la suivante:

"Le Commonwealth des Philippines propose que la troisième phrase  
de la section A du chapitre VI soit amendée pour

The Delegation of Cuba votes in favor of the text of the first sentence of Section A of Chapter 6 of the Dumbarton Oaks Proposals which stipulates that there shall be 11 members of the Security Council, but makes its vote and the withdrawal of its proposal that the membership be 15, dependent on the condition that integration of the Inter-American regional system within the world organization, with the necessary autonomy, be approved by the Conference.

San Francisco, May 12, 1945. By the Delegation of Cuba.

La Délégation de Cuba vote en faveur du texte de la première phrase de la Section A du Chapitre VI des Propositions de Dumbarton Oaks, qui stipule que les membres du Conseil de Sécurité seront au nombre de 11, mais ce vote, ainsi que le retrait de sa proposition d'après laquelle le nombre de ses membres devrait être de 15, sont sujets à l'approbation par la Conférence de l'incorporation, avec la liberté d'action nécessaire, du système régional interaméricain dans l'Organisation Mondiale.

San Francisco, le 12 Mai 1945

Pour la Délégation de Cuba:



La Delegación de Cuba vota a favor del texto de la primera frase de la Sección A del Capítulo 6 del texto de Dumbarton Oaks que estipula que los miembros del Consejo de Seguridad serán 11, pero subordina su voto y el retirar su proposición de que dichos miembros sean 15, a la condición de que sea aprobada por la Conferencia la integración del sistema regional interamericano dentro de la organización mundial y con la necesaria autonomía.

San Francisco, 12 de mayo de 1945. Por la Delegación de Cuba.

Written statement by A. Garcia Robles to Secy - 12:30

May 12/45

THE UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE  
ON INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

La Délégation du Mexique,  
en donnant son vote affirma-  
tif à la première phrase  
du Chap. VI du Projet de  
D. Oaks, désire qu'on prenne  
acte <sup>du</sup> ~~adelle~~ fait qu'elle  
maintient son point de  
vue sur la désirabi-  
lité d'augmenter le  
nombre des Membres  
non permanents du Con-  
seil de Sécurité et ~~qu'on~~  
demande qu'on insère  
dans le Rapport le vœu  
qu'elle formule pour  
qu'une telle augmen-  
tation soit réalisée aussi  
tôt que les circonstances le  
permettront.

The United Nations Conference  
ATTENDANCE RECORD FOR COMMITTEE III/1  
May 12, 1945

AUSTRALIA:

BELGIUM:

BOLIVIA:

BRAZIL:

BYELO-RUSSIA - S.S.R.

CANADA:

CHILE:

CHINA:

COLOMBIA:

COSTA RICA:

CUBA:

CZECHOSLOVAKIA:

ECUADOR:

EGYPT:

EL SALVADOR:

ETHIOPIA:

FRANCE:

GREECE:

GUATEMALA:

HAITI:

HONDURAS:

INDIA:

IRAN:

IRAQ:

LEBANON:

LIBERIA:

LUXEMBOURG:

MEXICO:

THE NETHERLANDS:

NEW ZEALAND:

NICARAGUA:

NORWAY:

PARAGUAY:

PERU:

PHILIPPINE COMMONWEALTH:

SYRIA:

SOUTH AFRICA:

TURKEY:

UKRAINE S.S.R.:

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS:

UNITED KINGDOM:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

URUGUAY:

VENEZUELA:

YUGOSLAVIA:



UNITED NATIONS CONFERENCE  
ON  
INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION

FOR THE PRESS

MAY 12, 1945  
NO. 77

COMMISSION III: SECURITY COUNCIL  
COMMITTEE 1: STRUCTURE AND PROCEDURES

Committee 1 of Commission III (Structure and Procedures of the Security Council) met at 10:30 A.M. on May 12 in the Veterans' Building. Mr. Jphn Sofianopoulos, Minister for Foreign Affairs of Greece and Chairman of the Committee, presided.

The Committee completed the discussion of the first sentence of Section A, Chapter VI, of the Dumbarton Oaks Proposals, which reads as follows:

"The Security Council should consist of one representative of each of eleven members of the Organization."

A vote was taken, and the sentence was unanimously approved.

\* \* \*

PRECIS OF SIXTH MEETING  
OF COMMITTEE III/1  
VETERANS BUILDING, ROOM 223  
May 12, 1946, 10:30 A.M.

After several Delegations had withdrawn their proposed amendments to the first sentence of Section A, Chapter VI of the Dumbarton Oaks Proposals providing for eleven members of the Security Council, the sentence was unanimously approved (with six abstentions).

SUMMARY REPORT OF SIXTH MEETING OF COMMITTEE III/1

Veterans Building, Room 223, May 12, 1945, 10:30 a.m.

The sixth meeting of the Committee was opened by the Chairman at 10:45 a.m.

1. Continuation of Discussion of First Sentence, Section A, Chapter VI

The discussion of the increase in the number of members of the Security Council was continued. Every speaker emphasized that he wished to contribute to the attainment of unanimity.

Several delegations declared that they would vote in favor of eleven members, as proposed in the Dumbarton Oaks text.

Among the delegations which had previously proposed an increase in the size of the Council, the Delegates of Chile and Honduras withdrew their amendments. Also the Delegates of Egypt, Cuba, and Ecuador declared that they would no longer press their amendments but hoped that their views might be met in the future. The Delegate of Mexico in withdrawing his amendment declared that he wished note to be taken of the fact that his Delegation maintained its point of view on the desirability of augmenting the number of non-permanent members of the Security Council and desired that the view it had formulated for such an augmentation should be realized as quickly as circumstances permit. The Iranian Delegation declared that while it would not withdraw its amendment, it would not press it, and would abstain from voting.

In order to clear the way for a vote upon the question before the Committee, China and Iraq withdrew the motion, made and seconded by them respectively at the close of the previous meeting, to refer the question to a subcommittee.

Decision: The first sentence of Section A, Chapter VI, of the Dumbarton Oaks Proposals, i.e., "The Security Council should consist of one representative of each of eleven members of the Organization," was approved unanimously (six abstaining).



The Delegate of Cuba, who was absent when the vote was taken, expressed his Delegation's wish to be recorded as approving the first sentence of Section A of Chapter VI, but asserted that it took this position and withdrew its proposal that the membership be 15, in the hope that other Delegations would endeavor to assure the kind of regional representation that Cuba had advocated, particularly with respect to the inter-American regional system.

## 2. Discussion of Procedure

The question was raised whether or not it was necessary to procure a two-thirds vote in order to approve the text of the Dumbarton Oaks Proposals. The Chairman, reading from the rules passed by the Steering Committee, declared that a two-thirds vote was necessary. The Chairman, after pointing out that the next order of business was the second sentence of Section A, Chapter VI, adjourned the meeting at 12:30 p.m.

COMMITTEE III/1

DETAILED AGENDA FOR CHAIRMAN FOR SIXTH MEETING

Saturday, May 12, 1945, 10:30 a.m.

Room , Veterans Building

Delegates: the meeting is called to order.

1. Statement of Business Transacted at Previous Meeting

The fifth meeting of Committee 1, Commission III, met in the Veterans Building at 2:45 p.m. on May 11, 1945. Mr. Agnides, Ambassador and member of the Delegation of Greece, acted as Chairman in the temporary absence of Mr. Sofianopoulos, the Chairman of the Committee, pursuant to the authorization of the Committee at its third meeting on May 9, 1945.

The meeting continued the discussion which had been begun at the previous meeting on the first sentence of Section A ("The Security Council should consist of one representative of each of eleven members of the Organization"). The proposals of various governments (namely, Chile, Cuba, Dominican Republic, Honduras, Iran, and Turkey) which are the furthest removed from the Dumbarton Oaks Proposals were first discussed, namely to increase the number of members of the Security Council to fifteen members. A number of further views were expressed and finally the Delegate of China made a motion to refer the discussion on the <sup>increase</sup> ~~number~~ of non-permanent members of the Security Council to Subcommittee A or to another committee in order to make a recommendation to the Committee. This motion was seconded, but it was agreed it

would not be put to a vote until further speakers had been heard at yesterday's and today's meetings.

2. Remaining Speakers on First Sentence of Section A of Chapter VI of Dumbarton Oaks Proposal

In accordance with the decision at yesterday's meeting, I will now call upon the speakers who indicated their wish to be heard at yesterday's meeting with respect to the first sentence of Section A of Chapter VI of the Dumbarton Oaks Proposals.

There were two speakers in this category and I first call upon the delegate of Turkey.

[Delegate of Turkey speaks]

I now recognize the delegate of Colombia.

[Delegate of Colombia speaks]

I assume there are no further speakers who wish to be heard on this sentence and we will now proceed to the disposition of this question.

3. Action on First Sentence of Section A, Chapter VI

In accordance with the decision at yesterday's meeting, I propose that the Committee now proceed to vote upon the motion made by the delegate of China which was duly seconded

that the question of <sup>number of non permanent members</sup> increasing the size of the Security Council be referred to Sub-committee A or another committee for the purpose of making a recommendation to this Committee.



Is there any objection to this procedure?

[Assuming there is no change in the decision of the Committee that the motion shall be put to a vote, the Chairman proceeds as follows:]

I will now call for a vote upon the motion of the delegate of China. All those in favor of this motion will raise their right hands. All those opposed will please raise their right hands.

After counting of hands, the decision will be announced.

[If the motion is carried, Chairman will state he assumes the Chair has the authority to take the necessary action and the meeting will proceed immediately to paragraph 5.]

[If the motion is defeated, the Chairman will proceed to paragraph 4, etc.]

SECOND PART OF DETAILED AGENDA FOR CHAIRMAN

Sixth Meeting, Saturday, May 12, 1945, 10:30 a.m.

4. Chapter VI, Section A, First Sentence

The Committee will consider at this time the proposal put forth directly or indirectly by the following countries that the total seats in the Council be increased to fifteen:

Chile  
Cuba  
Dominican Republic  
Honduras  
Iran  
~~Turkey.~~

(Assuming defeat of this proposition.)

Consideration will not proceed to the Egyptian proposal to increase the total membership to fourteen.

(Assuming defeat of this proposition.)

This having been defeated the recommendation of Ecuador that the total number of seats be increased to thirteen is now placed before the Committee.

(Assuming defeat of this proposition.)

This having been defeated the Chair submits the recommendation of Mexico to increase the total membership to twelve.

(Assuming defeat of this.)

I assume therefore that the first sentence of Section A of Chapter VI is approved without change.

5. Discussion of Chapter VI, Section A, Second Sentence

The Committee will now consider the second sentence of Section A of Chapter VI which reads as follows:

"Representatives of the United States of America, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Republic of China, and, in due course, France, should have permanent seats."

The inviting powers <sup>have</sup> ~~do~~ not propose <sup>to</sup> ~~to~~ amend <sup>the</sup> ~~the~~ this sentence.

The amendments proposed by others are threefold:

(1) Canada has proposed the deletion of the words "in due course", (2) the Republic of Mexico has proposed that this sentence be deleted, and that a new text be substituted in its stead, and (3) certain Latin American nations have proposed a permanent seat on the Council for Latin America.

~~If there is no objection, it is proposed that the Canadian proposals to delete the words "in due course" be considered first.~~

If there is no objection, I propose that we examine first the proposition of Mexico which is farthest in substance from the text of Dumbarton Oaks. I note that the delegation of Cuba also submits a new text on this subject. However, the Cuban amendment is essentially based on the fact that the number of states taking part in the Security Council would be increased from eleven to fifteen. But on this point the Committee has already voted down the proposition to augment the number to fifteen. I wonder if this fact does not affect the entire Cuban amendment. I propose to the Committee, in order to expedite the Committee's business, <sup>that I</sup> ~~to~~ permit the delegate of Cuba to <sup>determine the course he will follow.</sup> ~~reach his own conclusion~~ on this point. His decision will be indicated at the time of the vote on this



sentence and on the following sentences.

If there is no objection, I submit to the Committee the Mexican proposal that the second sentence in Section A, Chapter VI, be deleted and the following substituted in its stead:

*The Security Council should consist of one representative of each of twelve members of the Organization*  
"Of these six, who shall be known as Semi-Permanent Members, should be the States whose responsibility for the maintenance of peace is greatest within the International Community, and it shall be the duty of the Assembly to determine, every eight years, which are those States. For the first eight year period it shall be considered that the States meeting the required qualification are the United States of America, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Republic of China, France and... (one Latin American Republic)."

(Assuming defeat of the Mexican proposal.)

The other proposed changes of this sentence relate to a permanent seat for Latin America. Since this proposal was defeated as part of the amendments put forward by Mexico I assume that we have completed our consideration of the second sentence and that this Committee approves the sentence as presented in the Dumbarton Oaks text, except for the words "in due course" in the second sentence.

6. Discussion of Chapter VI, Section A, Third Sentence

The Committee can now consider the third sentence of Section A of Chapter VI which reads as follows: "The General Assembly should elect six states to fill the non-permanent seats." Certain states have recommended that the number of non-permanent seats be increased. In view of the Committee's action on the total number of members of the Council, the question of an increase in the number

*proposal for the Committee to delete the words "in due course" in the second sentence. I will move a vote on this. (The Chairman then stated the Committee's position on the second sentence.)*